Exhibit E (Deposition of Bryan Repetto, Relevant Excerpts)

```
1
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   2
                             DISTRICT OF MAINE
   3
   4
       BRYAN REPETTO,
                                                     CIVIL ACTION
                                              )
                                                 Docket No. 08-CV-101
  5
                Plaintiff,
  6
                   v.
  7
      ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY, INC., and
      ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY (CAYMAN),
  8
               Defendants.
  9
10
11
                DEPOSITION of BRYAN REPETTO, taken pursuant to
12
     notice dated August 21, 2008, at the law offices of
13
     Murray, Plumb & Murray, 75 Pearl Street, Portland, Maine, on
14
     September 19, 2008, commencing at 10:58 a.m., before Cindy
15
     Packard, Registered Diplomate Reporter, a Notary Public in
16
17
     and for the State of Maine.
18
19
     APPEARANCES:
20
     For the Plaintiff:
                                      Barbara L. Goodwin, Esq.
21
     For the Defendants:
                                      Gail E. Cornwall, Esq.
                                     Daryl J. Lapp, Esq.
22
23
24
25
```

ſ			""	T		
	1	INDEX	Page 2		A	Page 4 Not exclusively. I did always want to return to
	2	Deponent: BRYAN REPETTO		2		California. But it was never set into stone especially
- {	3	Examination by:	Page	3		for residency.
)	5	Ms. Cornwall	3	4	Q	Okay. So those weren't the only two places you wanted
	6			5		to practice?
	7			6	A	They were the preferred places.
	8	EXHIBITS		7	o	
	9	Exhibit 1 email	23	8	A	•
	10	Exhibit 2 notice Exhibit 3 dismissal letter	31 34	9	Q	Me, too. And after graduation, did you go straight to
	10	Exhibit 4 emails	34	10	_	Sonoma State?
- :	11	Exhibit 5 emails	35	11	A	Yes, I did.
		Exhibit 6 ValueMD postings	48	12	Q	When did you end up leaving?
	12	Exhibit 7 ValueMD postings	54	13	A	At the end of the first year.
ı,	L3	Exhibit 8 student loan form Exhibit 9 test scores	77 107	14	Q	Why?
- -		Exhibit 10 appeal sheet	114	15 .		•
1	4	Exhibit 11 email	118	16	A	Just wanted a larger school, school had more research
		Exhibit 12 emails	129		^	opportunities. Career goals became more defined.
- 1	.5			17	Q	Okay. And I'm a little confused on the sequencing, did
- 1	.6 .7			18	_	you go to Skyline after you left Sonoma?
- 1	.8		1	19	A	No, not entirely. Sonoma State isn't very far from
- f	9			20		Skyline College. So my second semester at Sonoma
2	0			21		State, I enrolled in an EMT program at Skyland College,
2			1	22		so at that time I was concurrently enrolled in both
2			1	23		schools. In the after I left Sonoma State in the
2				24		summer, I took some summer courses at Skyline before
2			}	25		going to the University of Nevada.
)			Page 3			Page 5
1		STIPULATION		1	Q	And then that following fall, you went directly to the
2	!	It is hereby agreed by and between the	parties	2		University of Nevada?
3		that signature is not waived.		3 .	A	Yes, that's where I graduated.
4				4	Q	Okay. So just to recap, we had overlap between Sonoma
5		BRYAN REPETTO, having been duly sworn by the	Notary	5		and Skyline in the spring, just Skyline in the summer,
6		Public, was examined and deposed as follows:		6		and then straight to Nevada in the fall?
7		EXAMINATION	ŀ	7 2	A	Right.
8		BY MS. CORNWALL:		8 (Q	Okay. Did you graduate with a degree from the
9	Ç	A couple times I'm going to try to set up jus	st a basic	9		University of Nevada?
10		time line?	1	0 4	A.	I did.
11	A	A Okay.	1:	1 0	2	Was it a BS or BA?
12	Q	I'll go through things quickly, and then don'	t worry 1	2 A	١.	It was a BS.
13		about skipping over major events because $I^{\tau}m$	going to 13	3 Q	2	And what year would that have been?
14		come back in more detail?	14	i A		2062.
15	A	Okay.	15	S Q		Okay. And then after graduation, you worked as an EMT?
16	Q	So you grew up in California; right?	16	A		No. I was never employed as an EMT.
17	A	That's correct.	17	Q		Okay. So you got your EMT training at
18	Q	Whereabouts?	18	A		Skyline College.
19	A	San Bruno.	19	Q		Skyline. But you didn't actually work as an EMT?
20	Q		20	A		No.
21	A		21	Q		Okay. And what made you decide to apply to medical
22	ç		podside. 22			school?
,23	A		23	A	1	Number of things. I was interested in the career path.
24	Q		a and 24			I was interested in the science behind the practice of
25	×	Texas because you had family there?	25			medicine. I found the entire career very appealing.
		Doddie you rome y Chere;				

-		·						
	1	0		ge 6	1	A	Page	. 8
	2	×				А		
	3	A	to practice in, what you wanted to do with your degree? I had some ideas. I always wanted to have my own		2		Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine.	
1	4	-	practice, that was something that was always well		3	Q	•	
1	5		defined. And I saw myself either in a subspecialty of		4 5	A		
	5		maybe internal medicine or perhaps some surgical		6		exact title of degree at the Philadelphia College of	
	7		specialty.		7		Osteopathic Medicine, but those applications were unsuccessful.	
-	8	Q	Okay. And when did you decide to apply to medical	ı	8	Q	•	
	9	×	school?	- 1	9	×	So there were three then, those two plus Ross that you applied to at that time?	
-	0	A	By that do you mean when did I actually have it formed	1		A	I believe so.	
1			in my mind I would ultimately be applying to medical	1		<u></u>	Okay. And again, for mostly personal curiosity, what	
1			school?	1		*	does osteopathic mean?	
1.		Q	Why don't we work backwards. When did you apply to	1.		А	It's the other degree that enables one to practice	
1.		-	medical school?	1.			medicine. The two degrees that enable one to practice	
1		A	I applied to Ross University in 2003.	15			medicine are the MD degree and the DO degree. Doctors	
10		Q	2003. Okay. And what did you do between 2001 and	16			of osteopathy are licensed to practice medicine in all	
17		-	2003?	17			50 states with an unrestricted license.	
18		A	I was still at the University of Nevada.	18		Q	Okay. And you wer're going to come back to this	
19)	Q	Right. So you graduated in spring, '02?	19		*	switching from Ross to SMU later, but you went directly	
20		A	No, I graduated in December of '02.	20			from Ross to SMU; right?	
21		Q	December, '02. I should have specified. You graduated	21	1	A	By directly, what do you mean by directly?	
22			in December, '02, and then you started at Ross in	22	. (Q	Did you have a period of employment or education	ļ
23			January, '03?	23			elsewhere in between the two?	
24	1	A	No, I started at Ross in May of 2003.	24	2	A.	No.	ĺ
25	ç	2	So what did you do from December to May?	25	Ç	2	Okay. Maybe like a winter break or something?	Ì
1								
 			Page 1	,				1
(1	A	4	Page From December until May, I was employed, and I was	1	A	L	I had maybe two or three weeks off.	
2			enrolled in graduate coursework at the University of	2	Q	}	Okay. And you enrolled in the St. Joseph's program at	
3			Nevada.	3			the same time that you enrolled at SMU?	
4	Q	!	And what graduate coursework?	4	A		Yes.	İ
5	A		I was an undeclared graduate student, and I was taking	5	Q		Why didn't you enroll as a transfer student from Ross?	l
6			biological science courses, coursework, coursework that	6	A		There were a few reasons. Quite honestly, in reviewing	l
7			paralleled medical the medical curriculum.	7			the materials from St. Matthew's, it appeared it would	
8	Q		Okay. And what were you employed as during that time?	В			be a better school.	l
9	A		I was employed as a surgical orderly at St. Mary's	9			I also wanted to keep everything in one transcript	l
10			Health Network.	10			for when I ultimately applied to residency programs.	ĺ
11	Q		Okay. I think that's probably where I got the idea	11			And I also wanted to review the coursework again so it	İ
12			that you were an EMT for a little while. So you had	12			would be fresh in my mind for when I ultimately take	
13			surgical orderly employment while doing some undeclared	13			took Step 1 of the USMLE.	
14			graduate coursework at University of Nevada?	14	Q		Okay. Once you left SMU, you finished your St.	
15	A		Right.	15			Joseph's MHSA?	
16	Q		When did you send your application to Ross?	16	A		I did.	
17	A		I believe it would have been sometime around maybe	17	Õ		Master's in health sciences	
18			February or March of 2003. I don't recall the exact	18	A		Health services administration.	
19			date, but it was early in it was early 2003.	19	Q		Health services administration. Thank you. Did you	
20	Q		Okay. Did you apply anywhere else or just to Ross?	20			need to stay in Maine to do that?	
21	A		I applied to other graduate programs, and I applied to	21	A		It was not required, but I did.	
22			some post baccalaureate programs at some osteopathic	22	Q		Okay. And when did you receive that degree?	
23			medical schools prior to applying to Ross.		A		I finished all of the coursework in March or April of	
24	Q		Do you remember what could you run down a list of	24			2007, and the degree was conferred I believe in May of	
25			those programs for me?	25		2	2007. I don't know the exact month. I don't know when	
			.				j	

	_					
			Page	10		Page 12
	1		they have their commencement exercises, but it was in		1	thinking. I knew I wanted to eventually leave if other
	2		2007.	ı	2	opportunities presented themselves. I didn't apply
	3	•	Okay. While you were finishing up the St. Joseph's		3	anywhere until my final semester at Ross which would
	1 4		program, did you do anything else, or did you stay in	ł	4	have been the end of 2004 I believe, but I'd always
	5		Maine and just were you employed?		5	thought about leaving.
	6	2	No, I was not employed.		6 ·	Q Why did you want to leave?
	7	ç	Were you in any other taking any other courses?		7	A Very high attrition rate, very high stress level. It's
	8	A	Nothing formal.	1	8	a third world island, nothing like living in the United
	9	Q	Okay. And then where did you go after you finished		9	States in terms of amenities, frequent power outages.
	10		your coursework in April of '07?	1	0	Q Were you dissatisfied with the academic program at
	11	A	In April of '07, I didn't really have anything going on	1	1	Ross?
	12		over the summer. Following that, in the fall of 2007,	1:	2 .	A To an extent.
	13		I envolled at Franklin Pierce Law Center in the master	1:	3	Q To what extent?
	14		of intellectual property program, formal degree being	14	4	A To the extent that it was very competitive, very
ļ	15		master of intellectual property, commerce and	1:	5	stressful. The extent that Ross accepts a lot more
j	16		technology.	16	5	people than they know they can ultimately handle so the
ı	17	Q	Okay. Between April and I assume August or September,	17	;	attrition rate is high basically by design.
- 1	18		you started the master's?	18	3 9	Were you looking for a program that was easier than
ı	19	A	That's right.	19	;	Ross?
- 1	20	Q	Did you stay in Maine?	20	2	No. I wouldn't say easier. I was looking at a program
	21	A	Yes, I made a few trips back to California, but I did	21		that had less of an attrition rate so that wouldn't
	22		maintain an apartment in Maine.	22		have been a problem. I certainly wouldn't say I was
	23	Q	Okay. And where is the Franklin Pierce Law Center?	23		looking for an easier program.
ļ	24	A	Concord, New Hampshire.	24	Q	Okay, Why as a student, how did the high attrition
	25	Q	So in the fall, did you move to New Hampshire?	25		rate affect you?
Ļ				╄		
-7	1	A	r did. Page 1	1	А	In what way?
	2	Q	And you've just now begun law school classes at	2	Q	Let me rephrase. Why would it be a concern for you
	3		Indiana; correct?	3		that there was a high attrition rate?
	4	A	That's correct.	4	A	Because a large number of students ultimately fail out.
	5	Q	Let me make sure I have all the years accounted for	5		At Ross it wasn't uncommon to see majority of the class
	6		here. You did the master's directly after you finished	6		within a few points of failing grade.
	7		the St. Joseph's program? Oh, no, you took the summer,	7	Q	So you personally would have been concerned that you
	8		then you started the master's in the fall?	8		might be included in those?
	9	Α.	That is correct.	9	A	Yes, most students at Ross are always concerned they're
1	D	Q	Did you work as a receptionist during while getting	10		going to be in that group.
1	1		your master's?	11	Q	Okay. And then why were you interested in SMU?
1.	2	A	Yes, that was a work study position.	12	A	Several reasons. First of all, I was very much
13	3	Q	Okay. And for law school, have you had to take out	13		attracted to the combined degree program. As I said
14	ı		more loans to pay for this?	14		earlier, I was always interested in having my own
19		A	Yes.	15		medical practice. And I also always wanted to be
16		Q	Did you receive a master's of IP and commerce	16		involved in some of the business aspects of medicine.
17			Of intellectual property, yes, I did.	17		So it seemed like that the combined degree
18		2	You did. That would have been awarded what year?	18		would be a great advantage. It also seemed like St.
19		A.	That would have been awarded this year, 2008.	19		i i
20			The state of the s			Matthew's was actively recruiting people who had a
21		•	All right. So now I'd like to go back and focus a bit more closely on your decision to leave Ross	20	•	similar interest in that field.
ı				21	ō.	Did you apply at any other medical schools?
22			Okay.		A	Not at that time.
23	Q	!	and enroll at SMU. When did you start thinking	23	ē	Did you apply to any law schools or other programs at
124	_		about leaving Ross?	24	-	that time?
25	A	•	I don't actually recall when I actually started	25	A	No, I didn't. I didn't decide to apply to law school
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

	1	Page 1	4 1		Page 16 otherwise go ahead.
- 1		Q Do you think actually, let me ask you first, have	2		THE DEPONENT: Can you please repeat the
		you seen the recommendations that you submitted to SMU?	3		question?
) 4		I know sometimes those are sealed?	4		MS. CORNWALL: I don't remember exactly how I
1 5		A They were sealed. I believe I saw them in the	5		phrased it. Can you read it back?
6		disclosures.	6		(Question appearing on Page 15, Lines 21 through 22,
7		Q Okay. Do you think the recommendations you sent to SMU	7		was read back.)
8		were strong?	8		THE DEPONENT: That's not an easy question to
9		A I believe they were.	9		answer. We're talking about a school that knowingly
10		Q Did you know at the time that you were applying that	10		accepted people with no MCAT scores, with no bachelor's
11		your MCAT scores were higher than average for SMU	111		degrees and who had been flunked who had flunked out
12		students?	12		of other medical schools for a variety of reasons.
13		A I didn't know what SMU's average MCAT score was.	13		It's very hard to say who was deserving of being
14		Q You never saw like a 25th/75th percentile breakdown?	14		admitted to that sort of program.
15	_	A Those were never disclosed to me.	15	{	- · ·
16	ç		16	•	SMU, did you think you were qualified for admission
17	A	·	17		relative to those in your class?
18	•	required for admission.	18		MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer.
19	Q	•	19		THE DEPONENT: Well, relative to other people
20	**	strong?	20		in the class, it was hard to hard to determine.
21	A		21		There were a lot of people that lied, a lot of people
22		taking the MCAT, of course.	22		in the class claimed to have credentials that no one
23	Q		23		with such credentials would have if they were at St.
24	•	happy with your MCAT score?	24		Matthew's.
25	А	***	25		There were people who occasionally would claim
Ψ-					
<i>)</i>		Page 15 would have been more successful in my attempts at	1		Page 17 they were actually admitted to several U.S. medical
2		getting into osteopathic programs in the U.S. at least.	2		schools. There were it's a difficult question to
3	Q	But you never applied to a U.S. MD program?	3		answer there were compared to people without a
4	A	No.	4		bachelor's degree, and with minimal science training,
5	Q	Okay. And do you think that your EMT training and your	5		yes, I do think I was qualified to go into a medical
6		orderly experience was relevant to whether you could	6		school. Based on my prior academic performance, I
7		succeed in medical school?	7		think that does raise some concerns.
8	A	Well, those two experiences had essentially no bearing	8	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. You read the entire student
9		on the basic science portion of medical school. Those	9		catalog and the information on SMU's website before
10		are clinical skills, not basic science skills.	10		applying to SMU?
11	Q	Did you think that they were it was relevant	11	A	Yes.
12		experience for a medical student?	12	Q	Did you also read any ValueMD postings at that time,
13	A	It was exposure to the health care field, of course it	13		and it's ValueMD?
14		was relevant.	14	A	Yes, I did read both.
15	Q	So do you think you deserved to be admitted to SMU?	15	Q	How closely did you follow the ValueMD postings, how
16	A	By what standard?	16		often would you check?
17	Q	When you applied, did you think your credentials were	17	A	I don't recall, but when I started getting more serious
18		too weak	18		about applying to SMU, I'd probably say once or twice a
19	A	I was concerned they may be.	19		week.
20	Q	to get into St. Matthew's?	20	Q	Okay. Now when I say those materials, in this next
21		Do you think you should not have been admitted to	21		sentence, I'm talking about the student catalog, the
22		St. Matthew's?	2		information on SMU's website, and the ValueMD postings?
23		MS. GOODWIN: Object to form.	3	A	Okay.
24	Q	1-1		Q	Okay. Did any of those materials state that California
25		MS. GOODWIN: I'll tell you if you can't,	.5		had been approved had approved SMU?

Γ		Page 18			Page 20
-	1 A		1		California had not approved SMU; correct?
		Q Did any of those materials state that California would	2	A	Correct.
- (:	3	approve SMU and that the approval would apply to	3	Q	Did you also know that Texas had not approved SMU?
<i>) i</i>	1	current students?	4	A	That I believe I was aware. I'm not sure as to when
	ă A	A Not expressly.	5		I found out about Texas.
1	Ç Q	pid any of these materials contain representations	6	Q	Okay. Did you know that Indiana had disapproved SMU?
1	7	regarding the likelihood of California approval in the	7	A	Again, I know I became aware of Indiana at some point
1 8	i	near future?	8		either before my admission or soon thereafter, but I
9	A	Yes.	9		don't recall the exact time frame.
10	Q	Can you describe those to me?	10	Q	Do you remember if you became aware of that neither
11	A	Some of the postings based on what SMU officials	11		Texas nor Indiana had approved SMU before or after you
12		posted; namely, J.P. Yates, or at least someone posting	12		began classes?
13		with his name, indicated there was a strong likelihood.	13	A	That I honestly do not recall.
14		There was a lot of confidence that SMU would be	14	Q	Did you know that any future approval by California
15		approved.	15		would not apply to you?
16		Also, based on the catalog and all the other	16	A	No, I was not aware of that.
17		and their website, photos of the campus, everything	17	Q	Did you ever become aware of that? I should say, were
18		else that was presented to me, I inferred that the	18		you ever told that or otherwise became aware of it?
19		school would likely be would likely be approved.	19	A	That's not really something anyone can say, the
20	Q		20		California board has medical board has approved
21	*	been from the J.P. Yates posting?	21		schools and given retroactive approval.
22	A		22	Q	Did anyone ever inform you of the likelihood that a
23	~	don't recall. But he was someone who posted very	23	_	California approval would not be retroactive?
24		regularly at that time. He was probably probably	24	A	I don't recall ever being explicitly told told that.
25		the most frequent poster from SMU. But in his	25	Q	Okay. Did anyone at SMU ever represent that future
-		the most frequent postor from size. See an ore		-	
ı			t		
)		Page 19	_		Page 21
1.		postings, it and when the question would come up, he	1		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of
1 2		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an	2		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about?
		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability.	3	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the
2	Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an	2 3 4	A	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how
2	Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability.	2 3 4 5	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that
2 3 4	Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the	2 3 4	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my
2 3 4 5	Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it	2 3 4 5	A	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously,
2 3 4 5		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else?	2 3 4 5	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my
2 3 4 5 6		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a	2 3 4 5 6	λ	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	λ	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	λ	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	λ	what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ą	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SNU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you admitted to SMU, do you recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California report had errors and that the school was actively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you admitted to SMU, do you recall? Yes, that would have been I believe I was formally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California report had errors and that the school was actively trying to show that the California report had errors,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you admitted to SMU, do you recall? Yes, that would have been I believe I was formally told in November of 2004.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California report had errors and that the school was actively trying to show that the California report had errors, and from that representation, you inferred that future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you admitted to SMU, do you recall? Yes, that would have been I believe I was formally told in November of 2004. Okay. And do you recall when you withdrew from Ross?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California report had errors and that the school was actively trying to show that the California report had errors, and from that representation, you inferred that future approval would apply to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	postings, it and when the question would come up, he was always very confident that that was almost an inevitability. So the only overt representation would have been the ValueMD postings? You said it might be J.P. Yates, it might be someone else? If you're saying the only overt representations in a group comprising the catalog, the website the SMU website, and ValueMD, then yes, that would be there were no I was not aware of any overt postings on in the catalog or on the school website, except for the postings that were posted on SMU's own internet forum as part of its website. And there J.P. Yates engaged in similar behavior and posted similar subject matter as he did on ValueMD. Okay. So there was an internal messaging board that's not ValueMD? That's correct. Okay. Give me just one second here. When were you admitted to SMU, do you recall? Yes, that would have been I believe I was formally told in November of 2004. Okay. And do you recall when you withdrew from Ross? Sometime in December of 2004.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q	approval would apply to you, sort of the reverse of what we were just talking about? I would say I would actually say yes, in the representations that SMU made in regards to how erroneous the California report decision was, from that I inferred that since that visit occurred prior to my enrollment, and the California board acted erroneously, yeah, I inferred that I would be that it would be retroactive. The subsequent approval following SMU's efforts to prove through legal or other means that this was an erroneous report that was issued in error and was so damaging to the school that they felt the need to hire two law firms to represent them, that yes, I thought subsequent subsequent to their successful legal or other attempt that yes, I would have been it would have been retroactive and applied to me. So the representation would be that the California report had errors and that the school was actively trying to show that the California report had errors, and from that representation, you inferred that future approval would apply to you? Yes.

							_
			Page 2	2		Page 2 received, what would be the deadline for applying for	!4
	1		step?	1			
	2	A	Yes. I would I would say that there was. In the	2	-	the fall semester, and whether all materials were	
1	3		email that was sent out as the initial email that	3		received. Essentially, that was it. There was nothing	
- 1	4		was sent out by I believe it was Dr. Thornton or	4		else asked, nothing else ever discussed.	
- 1	5		Dr. Harris, I don't have it in front of me, but the	5	Q	Did you ever discuss your background or any of the	
'	5		initial email and initial communication informing the	6		substance of your application?	İ
	7		student body of the California decision, he said that	7	A	No. Never.	-
	3		this would this would be challenged in court or	8	Q	And what was your backup plan if you hadn't gotten into	
5	•		challenged somehow, through some means, legal or	9		SMU? Would you have stayed at Ross?	
10	ı		otherwise. That this would be challenged, and because	10	A	Most likely.	
11			it was so erroneous, SMU would gain approval. I I	11	Q	Did you have a plan if you hadn't gotten into SMU and	1
12			took nothing away from that other than that this	12		if you had been part of that attrition rate at Ross?	-
1.3			that this approval would happen and was inevitable.	13	A	There were things I was considering, but nothing ever	1
14	,	Q	So I'm about to show you the email that I think you're	14		materialized, nothing actually well formed.	
15			talking about?	15	Q	What kinds of things were you considering?	
16	1	A	Okay.	16	A	Honestly, at the time I wasn't sure. I'd always	
17	(Q	So but what I hear you saying is, correct me if I'm	17		thought about the idea of possibly law school, but not	ı
18			wrong, that what you took away from the email is that	18		very seriously, never took the LSAT while I was at Ross	
19			approval would apply to you? What I'm going to ask you	19		or anything of that sort.	۱
20			to do when you look at this is to tell me if it	20	Q	Okay. So I'm going to now I want to do another one	
21			directly anywhere says that approval will apply to	21		of these time lines?	1
22			existing students?	22	A	Okay.	ı
23	A		Okay.	23	Q	This time for your time at SMU?	l
24			MS. CORNWALL: Could we mark this as Exhibit	24	А	Okay.	l
25			17	25	Q	We're going to come back to each of these things in	
			•		~		۱
1							
<u> </u>				<u> </u>			┨
			Page 23	,		Page 25	
			(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for	1 2	A	detail?	
2			(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.)	2	A O	detail? Okay.	
2		•	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything	2	A Q	detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are	
2 3 4			(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive.	2 3 4		detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU,	
2	Q		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did	2 3 4 5		detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses,	
2 3 4 5			(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone?	2 3 4 5	Q	detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct?	
2 3 4	A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6		detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an	
2 3 4 5			(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option.	
2 3 4 5 6	A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	detail? Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	1 :	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	: : :	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q	: : :	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	i i i t t t t t	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoks to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were these do you think someone would have trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May. Right. So you were only taking Cayman courses in Maine	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were these do you think someone would have trying to yet at whether these were one word conversations, or if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May. Right. So you were only taking Cayman courses in Maine for spring, '05, just one term?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were these do you think someone would have trying to yet at whether these were one word conversations, or if somebody could have gotten by speaking to you gotten	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A A	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May. Right. So you were only taking Cayman courses in Maine for spring, '05, just one term? My first semester courses, yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were these do you think someone would have trying to get at whether these were one word conversations, or if somebody could have gotten by speaking to you gotten as feel for not necessarily your personality, but were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A A	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May. Right. So you were only taking Cayman courses in Maine for spring, '05, just one term? My first semester courses, yes. Okay. And at the end of fall, 2005, you had passed all	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	I State of the sta	(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification.) THE DEPONENT: No, I don't see anything expressly saying that approval would be retroactive. (By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. When you applied to SMU, did you speak to anyone at SMU over the phone? Yes. Who did you speak to? I don't recall everyone I spoke to, but I know I spoke to J.P. Yates. I spoke to Darrell Frazier or Frazier, I'm not exactly sure of the correct spelling or pronunciation of his name. Beyond that, I don't recall anyone else I spoke to. I made some phone calls, but I don't know if there was anyone else. So you had phone conversations with a number of individuals at SMU prior to your admission? Yes. And during these phone conversations, I mean, were these do you think someone would have trying to get at whether these were one word conversations, or if somebody could have gotten by speaking to you gotten a feel for not necessarily your personality, but were these more than one sentence long conversations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q	Okay. Okay. So these first couple of questions are clarifying some things for me. While you were at SMU, students could take classes on one of two campuses, Maine and Grand Cayman; correct? Only for the fourth and fifth semester was Maine an option. Okay. Great. You took non fourth and fifth semester classes in Maine simply because of hurricane Ivan; is that right? Yes. But they were Cayman courses taught in Maine? Yes. Okay. You then went to the Cayman campus for the first time in the fall of '05? No. I believe it was the summer of '05. Summer being the semester that starts in May. Right. So you were only taking Cayman courses in Maine for spring, '05, just one term? My first semester courses, yes. Okay. And at the end of fall, 2005, you had passed all the classes required to proceed to your fourth term?	

_				,			
			Page 2			•	Page 28
	1		passed all of the first, second and third semester	1		Q N	No. When would most SMU students take the USMLE? Most SMU students would have taken it
	2		courses?	2		A	
1	3	A	That is correct.	3		Ω.	Step 1?
ĺ	4	Q	Would you say that you had received a substandard	4		A	after their fifth semester.
- 1	5		education up until that point?	5		Q	So you part of your complaint was that you didn't
- 1	6	A	Honestly, yes.	6			feel prepared for the USMLE after your third semester?
	7	Q	Tell me why, please?	7		A.	I'm sorry, my complaint?
	8	A	Number of reasons. That's a very open ended question.	8	(5	I'm sorry, we were just I didn't complaint, lower
ļ	9		Can you be more specific?	9			case, not upper case C. When we were just talking, you
1	0	Ç	What were you unhappy with in your first, second and	10			said part of the problem with the curriculum was that
1:	1		third semester instruction?	11			you thought there was material in the USMLE that the
1:	2	A	A number of things, facilities, for one. Faculty, for	12			curriculum hadn't covered?
13	3		another. Curriculum.	13	P		That's correct.
14	4	Q	Did you think the curriculum was too easy, too hard?	14	Ç	}	And I was just confirming that there were two more
15	5	A	I felt in many respects it was lacking.	15			semesters of instruction expected before you were
16	5	Q	How so?	16			expected to take the USMLE, Step 1?
17	7	A	For instance, I felt like there were things that were	17	A		There was not two more semesters of instruction in each
1.8	3		covered in most textbooks that were not covered in	18			of the subject areas, though.
19	}		appreciable detail at St. Matthew's.	19	Õ		Okay. Was it true that the fourth semester of classes
20	•	Q	So you wanted more depth of instruction?	20			were building on the first three semesters?
21		A	Not sure I would even say depth. I would say more	21	A		It was.
22			relevance.	22	Q		So you returned to Maine for fourth semester classes in
23	•	Q	Did you think the materials you were being taught were	23			spring, 2006?
24			irrelevant to	24	A		That is correct.
25	1	A	I wouldn't say irrelevant, but they were relevant	25	Q		We'll come back to some of these in detail, but let me
			·				
)			Page 27				Page 29
1			there was relevant material that would appear on tests	1			try to get through this time line. So you withdraw
2			like Step 1 of the United States Medical Licensing	2			from both pharmacology and Path II during the 2006
3			Examination that were omitted. There were things	3		1	term; right?
4			that subject matter that was taught or not taught in	4	A	I	During the spring, 2006, term, yes.
5			earlier classes or more basic classes that in	5	Q	3	Yes. Thank you. Did you add any other courses when
6			subsequent classes, there was not sufficient background	6		3	you withdrew or did you
7			to adequately understand more advanced material.	7	A	ני	There was not an option to add any other courses.
8	Q		So in fourth semester classes, you felt that	8	Q	٤	So after you withdrew in spring, 2006, from Pharm and
9	A		No, I thought we were speaking of SMU courses in	9		E	Path II, you were taking Patient/Doctor 4 and genetics?
10			general up until fourth semester.	10	A	1	Delieve yes, I believe those were the only two. I
11	Q		That's what I was	11		d	could be wrong, there might have been something else,
12	A		Okay.	12		b	out I think those were the only two other courses.
13	Q		speaking of, but what I'm trying to get at, not how	13	Q	A	and then summer, 2006, you tried again to pass Pharm
14			you feel now about the first three semesters, but how	14		а	and Path II; correct?
15			you felt then?	15	A	c	orrect.
16	A		How I felt then, I still had I had those concerns	16	Q	W	ere you taking any other courses, summer of 2006?
17			then.	17	A	I	was taking clinical therapeutics.
18	Q		When do most people take the USMLE?	18	Q	0	kay. And you failed both Pharm and Path II that term?
19	A		By most, are you referring	19	A	Y	es.
20	Q		Step 1, I'm sorry?	20	Q	C	orrect? In September, 2006, you began Pharm and Path
21	A	;	Right. By most, are you referring to most medical	21		ľ	I again?
22			students in general, or most St. Matthew's students?	22	A	Tl	hat is correct.
23	Q	1	When would you have expected to take it, after which	23	Q	We	ere you taking any other classes?
24		2	semester of instruction?	24	A	No	ot at that time. And in all of these questions, when
25	A	ş	When I personally have expected to take it?	25		yo	ou say other classes, I'm only referring to SMU
							i i

		Page			Page :
1		classes. I'm not speaking of St. Joseph's classes.			but I did receive a letter like this.
2	Q		2		(By Ms. Cornwall) And just to confirm, it says that
3		classes, were you progressing along the normal path in	3		you have used up your basic science financial aid
4		the St. Joseph's classes?	4		eligibility; is that correct? Sorry, it's below the
5	A	Again, it's very hard to define what a normal path is	5	-	Yeah, I'm just reading through it. Yes, that is
6		in the St. Joseph's program.	6		correct.
7	Q	So most fourth semester students at SMU would take	7	_	And basic science program would have ended with fourth
8		genetics, pharmacology, Pathology II, and	8		semester; correct?
9		Patient/Doctor 4 while taking certain classes at St.	9	A	My understanding is for the purposes of financial aid,
0.		Joseph's; correct?	10		it ended in the fifth semester.
1	A	Correct.	11	Q	So what semester would clinical science program
2	Q	Did you fail St. Joseph's courses	12	A	After fifth semester, after students enter into
3	A	No, none.	13		clinical rotations after fifth semester.
1	Q	in the spring? So in the summer of 2006, did you	14	Q	Okay. We'll come back to that. So you and we
5		progress to what most fifth semester students would be	15		established earlier that you and Dr. Pringle met on
6		taking at St. Joseph's?	16		November 7th, does that sound right to you?
7	A	Again, that question is very difficult to answer	17	A	I don't recall the date.
		because the St. Joseph's program had students from all	18	Q	Okay. Did you meet with Dr. Pringle the day before a
}		semesters in different classes in different semesters.	19		Pathology II exam in November of 2006?
)		So people joined the St. Joseph's program at varying	20	A	I did.
		times. They dropped courses at varying times. So it	21	Q	And then you met again after that exam and after
2		wasn't it wasn't a curriculum that was as well	22		another exam, does that sound right to you? I can
;		defined as the St. Matthew's curriculum.	23		just did you meet again in November after
	0	Let me try phrasing it this way. Did your St.	24	A	We met after the exam, it was in late I don't know
	•	Joseph's course load stay about the same over the	25		if it was in late November, December. We did meet
		5055gm 5 506230 2022 512, 22023 510 5100 5102 6102	ĺ		
			1		D 40
		Page 31 course of the spring, summer, and fall, 2006, terms, or	1		Page 33 again, yes.
		did you decrease the number of St. Joseph's courses you	2	Q	Does okay. In late November, you started to send
		were taking?	3		emails to SMU officials inquiring about readmission
	A	No, they I never decreased any courses at St.	4		procedures; right?
		Joseph's.	5	A	Correct.
	Q	Okay. Who taught pharmacology in fall, 2006?	6	Q	And some faculty again, I'm just going through the
		Actually, let me withdraw that question. I think	7		time line?
		we established earlier, and correct me if I'm wrong,	8	A	Sure.
		that in fall of 2006, Dr. Pringle directed the	9	Q	We'll go back to all this. Some faculty members
		pharmacology course and certain lectures were taught by	10	-	responded to these emails, you had a few email
		other professors; is that correct?	11		discussions; correct?
	A	I don't know if their actual title was professor, but	12	A	They weren't faculty, they were administrators.
	-	it was taught by other instructors, yes.		Q	Was Dr. Green a faculty member or administrator or
,	2	Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite taught Pathology II in fall of	13	v	·
,	~	2006?	14		both?
,	4	He was one of the instructors of Pathology II.	15	A	I believe he was only an administrator at the time.
			16	Q	And Dr. Nasser?
,	2	Was that course set up in the same way as pharmacology	17	A	Dr. Nasser was both a faculty member and an
_		where you had a large number of other instructors?	18		administrator.
Ä		In Pathology II, there were two instructors, Dr. Pusch	19	Q	And Dr. McCutcheon?
		and Dr. Wilhoite.	20	A	He was purely an administrator.
	}	Thank you. On September 29, 2006, you received this	21	Q	Okay. On December 13, 2006, you got a letter
Ç		letter that we're marking Exhibit 2.	22		explaining that you had been dismissed from SMU;
Ç					
Ç		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 2 was marked for	23		correct?
ç		identification.)		A	Correct? I'm sorry, what was the date?
ç			24	A Q	

			T		D 20
1	A	Page 3 Again, I don't recall the exact date, but I did receive	4 1	A	Okay. Page 36
2		a letter in December saying that.	2	Q	Did you have any communications regarding California
3	Q	Let's mark this letter as Exhibit 3.	3		approval with Dr. Thornton other than these emails that
) 4		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 3 was marked for	4		are in front of you?
5		identification.)	5	A	I communicated with Dr. Thornton exclusively by email.
6	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) After receiving this letter, you	6		There may have been other emails, but I believe this is
7		again emailed several SMU professors; right?	7		if not all of them, at least most of them.
8	A	Correct. Or administrators. Again, I wouldn't say	8	Q	Okay. What does he say in these emails that is false
9		professors.	9		in your opinion?
10	Q	Sorry about that. And the school shut down for winter	10		MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer.
11		break after that?	11		MS. CORNWALL: I'm going to try to rephrase
12	A	I wasn't aware of the school shutting down for winter	12		the question.
13		break. I didn't know how their Florida office works.	13		MS. GOODWIN: Go for it.
14	Q	So the students would have been on winter break after	14		MR. LAPP: You don't need to.
15	-	that?	15	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) What misrepresentations or
16	А	Yes.	16		misstatements do you think are in those emails?
17	Q	Okay. And on January 5th, 2007, you received an email	17	A	First of all, quote, next move is to invite Harvard
18	*	from Gary McCutcheon; correct?	18		administrative personnel down to SMU to review us and
19	A	I'm sorry, what date?	19		to report to California, end quote.
20	Ω	January 5th?	20		I'm not aware of that ever happening. There was
21	A	It was sometime around January, and yes, I did receive	21		no communication to any student that there was a team
	A	an email from Dr. McCutcheon.	22		assembled from Harvard ever sent to Cayman. That was
22		MS. CORNWALL: Let's mark this Exhibit 4.	23		March 8th of 2006. We're now in 2008. I don't believe
23			24		that ever transpired.
24		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 4 was marked for	25	Q	Can we stop with that one and then do them one by one?
25		identification.)	25	¥	can we stop with that one and than as then one sy one.
\					
)	Q	Page 35 (By Ms. Cornwall) After this email, do you remember	1	A	Page 37
2					
		making another attempt to contact instructors or	2	Q	Okay. Do you know that a team from Harvard was not
3		making another attempt to contact instructors or faculty members or administrators?	2	Q	Okay. Do you know that a team from Harvard was not invited?
3 4	A	- "		Q A	· ·
	A	faculty members or administrators?	3	_	invited?
4	A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel.	3 4	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively.
4 5	A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some	3 4 5	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay.
4 5 6	A Q A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal	3 4 5	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton
4 5 6 7	Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance?	3 4 5 6 7	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily
4 5 6 7 8	Q A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound	3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote.
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q Q A A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A	Invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q Q A A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q Q A A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know.
4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	Q A Q Q A A	faculty members or administrators? Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220	A Q A A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	Q A Q A Q	Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	Invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay. I also disagree with his factual claim, again, this is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q Q A A	Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Do you recognize these emails, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2	A Q A A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay. I also disagree with his factual claim, again, this is in reference to the email sent on March 9, quote, the
4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121	Q A Q A Q Q	Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Do you recognize these emails, the latest of which was sent on May 30th, 2006?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay. I also disagree with his factual claim, again, this is in reference to the email sent on March 9, quote, the only other states which have given us difficulty
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 34	Q A Q A Q Q	Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Do you recognize these emails, the latest of which was sent on May 30th, 2006? Let me read it, please. Yes, I recognize these emails.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 224	A Q A A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay. I also disagree with his factual claim, again, this is in reference to the email sent on March 9, quote, the only other states which have given us difficulty because of California has been Texas and Indiana, end
4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 134 144 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 14	Q A Q A Q Q	Yes, after I received this email, I did contact some other SMU personnel. And then at some point thereafter, you sought legal assistance? That is correct. And there was an exchange of letters between lawyers, and then the filing of the lawsuit; does that sound right? Yes. Just rounding out my time line. Okay. So let's turn to representations as to approval efforts in more detail. Okay. Before we continue, can I have a break? Yes, absolutely. (Recess at 11:44 a.m., to 11:49 a.m., after which the following proceedings transpired.) (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Do you recognize these emails, the latest of which was sent on May 30th, 2006? Let me read it, please. Yes, I recognize these emails.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A A Q	invited? I don't know that conclusively. Okay. I also believe it's false when on March 9, Dr. Thornton says quote, we continue to work on this on a daily basis and have two legal teams two legal California teams advising us, end quote. I really didn't see anything that SMU ever did that would objectively show they were working on this on a quote, daily basis. Again, it's now been almost four years since the disapproval, and there hasn't been any change. Okay. So you didn't see the results you would expect from daily work, do you know that SMU at the time that was written was not working on California approval on a daily basis? I don't know. Okay. I also disagree with his factual claim, again, this is in reference to the email sent on March 9, quote, the only other states which have given us difficulty

		The state of the s			
1		Page Other states subsequently followed, and there were		l A	$\label{eq:page 40} Page \ 40$ In terms of factual accuracy of these emails, I believe
2		other states, again, I don't know them all offhand, but	2	2	that's it.
(3		they actually have provisions in their statutes that	3	3 Q	Okay. Frior to receiving these emails, had you thought
) 4		forbid applicants for medical licenses who have	4	ı	about leaving SMU?
5		graduated from schools who have been disapproved by any	5	A	Yes.
6		state from obtaining a license.	6	Q	When?
7	Q	Did those schools follow before the date of this email	7	A	When I got to the Cayman Islands in second semester, I
8		such	8		had serious questions when I saw how the facilities in
9	A	I'm sorry, did those schools?	9		the Caymans actually were. I started to question some
10	Q	States. My bad.	10		of the faculty at SMU based on their credentials, their
11	A	I knew what you meant.	11		teaching habits in the second semester, the use of
12	Q	Did those states follow after the date of this email,	12		rampant use of review materials as opposed to actual
13		or could that statement have been	13		textbooks in instruction was a concern.
14	A	As far as I know, there were states prior to this	14	Q	These emails that you have in front of you would have
L5		decision who had those those sorts of statutes.	15		been were sent prior to your to the summer of
16	Q	So you believe that more than Texas and Indiana	16		2006 term in Cayman; correct? I believe we have
.7	A	Yes.	17		February, '05 oh, I'm sorry, for the February, '05,
.8	Q	and California?	18		email
.9	A	Yes.	19	A	No, these were sent
0		MS. GOODWIN: Let her finish.	20	Q	Let me separate the two.
1		THE DEPONENT: I'm sorry.	21	A	Okay.
2	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Had disapproved SMU as of the date	22	Q	Exhibit 1 would have been prior to when you had
3	*	of that email?	23	-	considered leaving SMU?
1	A	Yes.	24	A	That's not entirely true. When I when I received
- 5	0	Okay. Go on.	25		this email, that was the first time I found out that
		Page 35			Page 41
	A	I also am not aware of the factual accuracy of this	1		SMU had been disapproved by the California Medical
2		claim that any that Texas would allow any physician	2		Board. That is when I initially considered leaving
•		licensed in another state to enter into Texas	3		SMU. But the contents of this email and the rebuttal
		regardless of the university attended. Again, SMU	4		provided by SMU mitigated my concerns at the time.
		still appears on the list that Texas maintains of	5	Q	Okay. Had you expressed to anyone at SMU that you
		fraudulent and substandard medical schools.	6		did you at any time express to anyone at SMU your
	Q	So you think the statement as to Texas is perhaps	7		thoughts about leaving?
		false?	В	A	I don't recall ever doing so.
2	A	Correct. I would also question what basis he has for	9	Q	Okay. Do you see where you wrote in the sorry.
		saying that I have never seen California to be either	10		Okay. The March 5th, 2006, email you wrote, I do
		reasonable or rational.	11		believe SMU provides a solid medical education, did you
	2	Okay. Go on.	12		believe that when you wrote it?
2	A	I disagree with his factual assertion that quote and	13	A	No, I did not.
		again, this is in reference to May an email May 30,	14	Q	Why did you write it if you didn't believe it?
		2006, quote, currently, the I'm sorry. Quote,	15	A	Well, there were two reasons. First of all,
		California has not again, I'm sorry.	16		recognizing that SMU is a for profit institution,
		All right. This one is accurate. Quote, we do of	17		recognizing at the time this email was sent, more than
		course do not believe the site visit was unbiased and	18		a year had elapsed between the date of the disapproval
		deficiencies noted in their report were either false or	19		and this email, I didn't want to say to Dr. Thornton
		inaccurate.	20		and ask information in such a way that he would be
		I believe there were many false and inaccurate	21		concerned that if he didn't answer it in a truthful
		statements in SMU's rebuttal to the California report,	22		manner, I would suddenly leave.
		and I believe many things that were in the California	23		So I basically wanted to set the question up
		report were entirely accurate.	24		such such that it was in a very non threatening, non
Q		Okay. Go on.	25		confrontational tone, so I would basically elicit the
Q		Okay. Go on.	25		confrontational tone, so I would basically elicit the

Page 44 Page 42 If you wouldn't mind reading the last two items -most truthful response from him. 1 I was concerned if I admitted to having serious 2 sorry, the second and third paragraphs of that, the 2 second paragraph starting, however? 3 concerns based on the California report, I wouldn't get a truthful answer, and I would simply hear something Okay. 4 Α Then the first sentence of the third paragraph, that SMII is still working on it, and the California o 5 6 starting by this change? report was inaccurate. 6 Okay. However, in the summer of 2004, the board of I wanted a more truthful response so I worded -- I California changed their rules. The rule change hasically worded it such that I -- it appears I would -- was not interested in leaving. That was not allowed the language quote, a graduate is eligible to the case at the time. But my primary reason was to 10 participate in residency as long as the school from 10 11 which they graduated was approved by California by the elicit the most truthful response possible. 11 The secondary reason was I didn't basically -- I 12 time they graduated, end quote, to, quote, a graduate 12 basically didn't want to make enemies with the 13 must have taken every course credit in a California administration. 14 approved school, end quote. By this change, they wiped out the possibility of 15 Okay. Give me another minute here. In the May 27, 15 any graduate who has completed even one credit in a non 16 2006, email you wrote I have complete confidence in the 16 education I am receiving at SMU. Was that true when approved school of practicing in California. 17 17 18 you wrote it? 18 When you read this email in May of '06, did you understand Dr. Thornton to be saying that California No. not at all. And it was said for the same reasons I 19 19 noted above. I was attempting to elicit the most --20 approval would not apply to someone who took courses 20 21 the most honest answer possible from the faculty prior to the approval? 22 without them being -- or the administration without them having any concerns that if they didn't answer in What did you understand the sentence that reads, by 23 a particular way that I would leave the school. 24 this change, they wiped out the possibility of any 25 And I know I'm messing up the chronology here, but in 25 graduate who has completed even one credit in a non Page 45 Page 43 approved school of ever practicing in California? the March 8th email, you said I agree that SMU is not 1 1 fairly evaluated? I read that to mean exactly what it said, but again, 2 Again, that was -- I said that for the exact same the California Medical Board had -- has granted .3 retroactive approval to schools after 2000 -- either in Okay. Turning back to Exhibit 1, the March, 2005, 2004 or in early 2005. St. Matthew's officials cited the instance of Saba 6 email, you told us that the California disapproval University being granted retroactive approval in raised concerns for you, but that this email had sort 8 of assuaged those concerns; correct? 8 their -- in their efforts to gain approval in California. They've mentioned it on their own web 9 Δ If the email had just said that SMU was using its best board. They mention it on cites like ValueMD. It has 10 0 10 efforts to gain approval, would that have similarly been mentioned before by SMU administrators at the time 11 11 SMU administration was aware that retroactive approval assuaged your concerns? 12 12 If I was provided with the rebuttal in addition to the 13 could have been possible. 13 Also, this email still says -- still -- the first 14 email, ves. Ouite honestly, the text of this email 15 isn't everything. When I refer to this email, I'm also 15 two sentences -- I'm sorry, the second sentence of this email says we have two attorney groups in California referring to everything that was attached to it or 16 16 advising us on this matter. Currently the advice 17 linked to it being the rebuttal. 37 suggests that we approach them regarding review at the 18 18 Also, I basically had to rely on SMU's rebuttal end of the next two years. California has not because at the time this email was sent, I was on the 19 19 responded to any requests for information about the 20 Maine campus. I'd never been to the Cayman campus. The 20 next step. Cavman campus wasn't even functional due to hurricane 21 21 Basically, this doesn't tell me at all that they 22 were abandoning their efforts to continue to seek 23 Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 5. In the top email, the May 30th email? approval through legal means and challenging the 24

25 A

Okav.

validity of the initial report.

			~~~~~~		
1 2	Q	Page Let's turn to Dr. Green. You said that Dr. Green told	46		Page he believed it to be true?
2		a class a week after the release of the California	1 2	;	Ms. GOODWIN: Objection.
3		report that action was being taken; correct?	3		THE DEPONENT: I don't know. I don't believe
4	A	That is correct.	4		it would have been possible for him to believe anything
5	Q	And were you in that class?	5		else given his position in the school.
		-	6	Q	•
6	A	I was.	ı	-	
7	Q	You also said that he assured students the decision was	7	λ	
8		based upon factual inaccuracies?	8	Q	
9	A	That is correct.	9		leaving the school at that time to Dr. Green?
10	Q	Were you one of those students who he assured?	10	A	·
11	A	I was in the class.	11	Q	Did he make any other oral or written we covered
12	Q	Okay. You also said that at the end of the spring,	12		oral. Did he make any other written representations
13		2005, term, he assured you that the administration was	13		regarding approval?
14		working through legal means to secure California	14	A	No, that was a verbal conversation in his office. So
15		approval and that the disapproval was unwarranted; is	15		there were no written representations made by Dr. Green
16		that right?	16		at that time.
17	A	I'm sorry, can you repeat that?	17	Q	Okay. And the oral representations we've talked about
18	Q	That at the end of the spring, 2005, term, he assured	18		are the total of all the oral representations he made?
19		you that the administration was working through legal	19	A	Right.
20		means to secure California approval?	20	Q	Okay. Let's look at what J.P. Yates posted on ValueMD?
21	A	Yes, that is correct.	21	A	Okay.
22	Q	And that the disapproval was unwarranted?	22	Q	You said earlier that you read the ValueMD postings
23	A	That is correct.	23		before you were admitted; right?
24	Q	I'm going to sort of try to summarize those, and then I	24	A	I wouldn't say I read all of them, but I read I read
25		want you to tell me if Dr. Green said anything other	25		many of them, yes.
			 		
1		Page 47	1		Page 4
		than what I'm about to say.	1	Q	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings
2	A			Q	
2	A Q	than what I'm about to say.	1	Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings
		than what I'm about to say. Okay.	2		Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU?
3		than what I'm about to say. Okay. That action was being taken by the administration	2 3	A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU?
3		than what I'm about to say. Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted,	1 2 3 4	A Q	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say?
3 4 5		than what I'm about to say. Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else?	1 2 3 4	A Q	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some
3 4 5 6	Q	than what I'm about to say. Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual	1 2 3 4 5	A Q	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would
3 4 5 6 7	Q	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 1	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 1 5	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 1 5 5 7	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 1 5 5 7	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 8	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate. And again, I haven't seen anything that would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects of the California report were in error, which is false.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 , ; ;	Q Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate. And again, I haven't seen anything that would indicate that SMU actually has taken any legal means or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects of the California report were in error, which is false. After experiencing St. Matthew's in both campuses, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 3 5 7 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Q A	Okay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate. And again, I haven't seen anything that would indicate that SMU actually has taken any legal means or any means through any administrative body to seek	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects of the California report were in error, which is false. After experiencing St. Matthew's in both campuses, I can say that the California report was accurate in many
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate. And again, I haven't seen anything that would indicate that SMU actually has taken any legal means or any means through any administrative body to seek approval in California.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects of the California report were in error, which is false. After experiencing St. Matthew's in both campuses, I can say that the California report was accurate in many regards.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Q A	Chay. That action was being taken by the administration through legal means, that the decision was unwarranted, and that the decision was based on factual inaccuracies, did he say anything else? He did say he thought it was it was unfair. He did speak as to the fairness of the issue, but that was the substance of the conversation, yes. Okay. What about these statements do you think is false? Well, the fact that the California report was erroneous I think is false. I think there were a lot of things in the California report that actually proved to be accurate. The California the SMU's rebuttal to the California report also has several things that are factually inaccurate. And again, I haven't seen anything that would indicate that SMU actually has taken any legal means or any means through any administrative body to seek approval in California. I'm going to ask for your opinion now	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A	Okay. And did you continue to read ValueMD postings once you were attending SMU? Yes. How frequently would you say? Depending on the time and what was going on, I would say anywhere from maybe once or twice a week to at some points maybe once a day. Okay. What did J.P. Yates or someone posting under his name on ValueMD say that was false? What he said was false was that there's well, again, I can't assure that the accuracy that with accuracy that SMU has not sought legal action against the California Medical Board. But I have also seen no evidence provided that they have. He has assured on ValueMD posted many assurances about that. He posted many assurances that everything in the California report not everything, but many aspects of the California report were in error, which is false. After experiencing St. Matthew's in both campuses, I can say that the California report was accurate in many regards. Okay. So to recap, J.P. Yates made statements on

1	A	A Correct. Page	1		unfounded. Page 5
2		MS. GOODWIN: Objection. I don't believe it	2		In other posts he did say that SMU has every
3		properly summarized the testimony.	3		intention of doing that. And the message that he was
4	Q	Q (By Ms. Cornwall) How would you briefly summarize the	4		posting was in essence that he did believe that
5		representations that J.P. Yates made on ValueMD that	5		students could be licensed in Texas subsequently.
6		you think are false?	6	Q	Okay. With these exhibits, these batches of
7	A	A J.P. Yates made many representations that SMU is	7		postings
8		aggressively challenging the decision of the California	8	A	Okay.
9		Medical Board. He made representations that the	9	Q	what I'm trying to get at is whether you read the
10		contents of the report issued by the California Medical	10		highlighted information?
11		Board were factually incorrect. He asserted the	11	A	Right. I don't I recall reading a post from J.P.
12		accuracy of the St. Matthew's rebuttal to the	12		Yates with this rule cited in its entirety like it is
13		California report.	13		here, but I don't recall seeing that.
14	Q	Okay.	14	Q	I see what you're saying now.
15	A	And again, what I'm saying in regards to what J.P.	15	A	Right. I do recall seeing a very similar post, but I
16		Yates said pertains only to the issue as to approval in	16		recall he had a lot more language on in the posting,
17		California.	17		in addition to the actual rule, which stated that he
18	Q.	Okay	18		that the that because Texas law has a provision to
19		MS. CORNWALL: Can we take a quick break?	19		allow licensure if it can be proven that the
20		THE DEPONENT: That's a good idea.	20		disapproval by another state was unfounded, that can be
21		(Recess at 12:14 p.m., to 12:22 p.m., after which the	21		proven, Texas wouldn't be an issue. And he basically
22		following proceedings transpired.)	22		said in another post with the citing this exact same
23	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) If you wouldn't mind reading just	23		rule that SMU can prove it. So
24	*	the highlighted portions for now?	24	Q	Okay.
		rue urantalites beretons for non-	47	×	onaj.
	A	Okay. On February 12, 2005, J.P. Yates posted, this is Page 51	25	A	
1	A		25 1 2	A .	I'm saying I do recall reading a posting by J.P. Yates Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional
1 2	A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws	1	A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this
1 2 3	A Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide	1 2	A Q	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional
1 2 3 4		Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information.	1 2 3		Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language.
1 2 3 4	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way.	1 2 3 4		Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the	1 2 3 4	Q	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these
1 2 3 4 5	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side.	1 2 3 4 5	Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q A A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 5 3 3 1 5 5	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual rules in Texas for medical licensure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing. MS. GOODWIN: All she's asked is whether you recall reading them at the time they were posted.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3 9) 1 2 3	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual rules in Texas for medical licensure. In a post, I don't know if it was previous to or	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing. MS. GOODWIN: All she's asked is whether you recall reading them at the time they were posted. THE DEFONENT: No. I don't recall the exact
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 5 7	Q A	why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual rules in Texas for medical licensure. In a post, I don't know if it was previous to or subsequent to this posting, he cited 136.1(a),	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing. MS. GOODWIN: All she's asked is whether you recall reading them at the time they were posted. THE DEPONENT: No. I don't recall the exact times I read them or even if I read all of them.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual rules in Texas for medical licensure. In a post, I don't know if it was previous to or subsequent to this posting, he cited 136.1(a), Subsection (B), the provision that in Texas law that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing. MS. GOODWIN: All she's asked is whether you recall reading them at the time they were posted. THE DEPONENT: No. I don't recall the exact times I read them or even if I read all of them. ValueMD has so many postings, it's impossible to read
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7	Q A	Page 51 why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves, rather than relying on others to provide the information. And the next one, just the same way. But always check with the boards directly to be on the safe side. And last quote is I still recommend calling for yourself. Okay. So did you see any of those postings contemporaneously when they were posted? I don't know. I have to go back and look at the thread to see if this was a thread I recall reading. I'm not sure if I read this particular thread, but what J.P. Yates said on the 12th of April April 12, 2005, where he says that is why I counsel students to check the rules and laws themselves rather than relying on others to provide information, he cites the actual rules in Texas for medical licensure. In a post, I don't know if it was previous to or subsequent to this posting, he cited 136.1(a), Subsection (B), the provision that in Texas law that says has not been disapproved by another state or physician licensing agency. And he put particular	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Page 53 that looks very similar, but I don't recall this particular post with the absence of that additional language. Okay. And just so you know, these will be exhibits so the rest of the issues that are discussed in these Okay we can deal with later. Okay. No, I only mention the rule because that's how I remembered it. Okay. These are two separate threads Yes you gave me. Okay. Actually, three separate threads? Okay. Then Exhibit 6 has three separate threads. Okay. Then that was confusing. MS. GOODWIN: All she's asked is whether you recall reading them at the time they were posted. THE DEPONENT: No. I don't recall the exact times I read them or even if I read all of them. ValueMD has so many postings, it's impossible to read all of them.

1		Page phrased?	54	1	Page:
2	A	I recall J.P. Yates occasionally posting things that		2	statements by Dr. Thornton, Dr. Green, and these
3		said something along the lines of some kind of		3	ValueMD postings, did any other SMU representatives
) 4		qualifying language like call or look up the rules		4	make any other statements, oral or written, regarding
5		yourself. I do recall qualifying language in some of		5	SMU's efforts to obtain approval in California?
6		his posts, yes.			A I discussed the matter with Dr. Pringle. I discussed
7	Q	Okay. Sorry to confuse you. Let's go on to Exhibit 7.		7	the matter very briefly with Dr. Heller.
8	A	Okay.	ĺ	8 (
9	Q	This is the same sort of thing, what I've highlighted	- [9 2	•
10	-	are variations on a theme. So if you wouldn't mind	1		mentioned in the previous deposition, I asked him what
11		reading them and then letting me know whether you read	1		efforts were being undertaken to pursue California
12		these or other variations on this theme at the time	1		approval.
13		they were posted?	1:		He told me that the primary reason SMU was
14	A	Okay.	1.		
15	••	MS. GOODWIN: Do you want him to read them	1		disapproved was because the California Medical Board
16		out loud, or just read them to himself and tell you?	18		overlooked the time that SMU was in existence in
17	Q				Belize. He also said that they're still working on it.
18	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) They're short, he can read them into the record.	17		He said the other issue with the California
19			18		Medical Board at least as it pertained to the Maine
		MS. GOODWIN: I was just asking.	19		campus was that the California Board explicitly, I'm
20		THE DEPONENT: Okay. On May 5, 2005,	20		assuming verbally, but he said they I don't know if
21		presumably J.P. Yates, under the name SMU information,	21		his exact words were explicitly, but they someone
22		posted a reply, in which the text of the original quote	22		from the California Board told him in some
23		was, if you only want to practice in California, don't	23		communication that he quote, cherry picked the students
24		come to SMU until approved.	24		who met with the California Medical Board.
25	_	On December 4, 2004, highlighted quote is, as I	25	Q	Okay. Did Dr. Pringle make any other statements?
25	-	On December 4, 2004, highlighted quote is, as I Page 5: have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU		Q A	Okay. Did Dr. Pringle make any other statements? Page 57
		Page 5	5		Not that I recall.
1		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU	5 1		Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back
1 2		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing	5 1 2		Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one?
1 2		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California.	5 1 2 3		Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back
1 2 3 4		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are	5 1 2 3 4		Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.)
1 2 3 4 5		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved.	5 1 2 3 4		Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are	5 1 2 3 4 5	A	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize,
1. 2 3 4 5 6		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP,	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 111		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 110 111 122		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 110 111 122 13		Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 0 111 122 133 44	Ω	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 112 13 14 5 5 6	2	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 110 111 12 13 14 4 5 6 6 3) L :	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 110 111 122 133 14 5 7 6 7 6 7 7 6	2 1	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 111 122 133 14 5 6 5 7 6 8 A	2 1 1 1 1	Page 5: have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit. Do you recall reading statements of this ilk? Again, I can't say if I actually read these particular	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q Q A	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? It is my belief that it was, yes. Okay. What did Dr. Heller say?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 111 12 2 13 14 5 6 7 6 8 8 8 8 8 9 9) 1 1 2 2	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit. To you recall reading statements of this ilk? Again, I can't say if I actually read these particular statements, but I did see some again, J.P Yates	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q Q A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? It is my belief that it was, yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 0 0	2 2	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit. Do you recall reading statements of this ilk? Again, I can't say if I actually read these particular statements, but I did see some again, J.P Yates	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q Q A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? It is my belief that it was, yes. Okay. What did Dr. Heller say? I don't recall the conversation with Dr. Heller very
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1	2 2 1 2 2 3 4 6 d	Page 5: have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit. Do you recall reading statements of this ilk? Again, I can't say if I actually read these particular statements, but I did see some again, J.P Yates always not always, but many times would qualify what the would say with words like this is what we think, but	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q Q A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? It is my belief that it was, yes. Okay. What did Dr. Heller say? I don't recall the conversation with Dr. Heller very well. It was a very brief conversation. It occurred in 2005, in early 2005 when the campus was in its
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 13 14 4 9 9 0 1 2	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Page 55 have said numerous times before, do not come to SMU until we are approved if you are only happy practicing in California. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, we thought California students would not come until we are approved. On March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, JP, long time before I started on this forum had said over and over again if you want to practice in CA, do not come to SMU until we are approved. March 10, 2005, Sebastien Guilbard posted, as far as coming to SMU, if you are from CA, I think J.P. Yates has repeated hundreds of times not to come to SMU if you are planning to practice in California. (By Ms. Cornwall) I think that's it. Those are all the highlighted quotes in the exhibit. Do you recall reading statements of this ilk? Again, I can't say if I actually read these particular statements, but I did see some again, J.P Yates always not always, but many times would qualify what the would say with words like this is what we think, but lon't rely on it.	5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q Q A Q	Page 57 Not that I recall. MS. CORNWALL: Would you mind reading back his answer, the long one? (Question appearing on Page 56, Lines 9 through 24, was read back.) MS. CORNWALL: Thank you. (By Ms. Cornwall) The statement about time in Belize, do you think that is that was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? The California reported clearly mentions that SMU was in existence in Belize. I really don't I don't understand what exactly he was getting at, and it doesn't appear that the time in Belize was at all material to the decision made by the medical board to deny SMU approval. Okay. Do you think the statement that they're still working on it was a false statement by Dr. Pringle? It is my belief that it was, yes. Okay. What did Dr. Heller say? I don't recall the conversation with Dr. Heller very well. It was a very brief conversation. It occurred

Page 60 Page 58 . to do, and I really can't -- I really don't recall what As far as I know, it is. Did you know that it was approved in California when 2 he said. 2 ٥ 3 Okav. I think we went over most of these earlier, but you decided to leave? 3 Did I know what, Ross was approved in California, yes, I just want to confirm. 4 While you were a student at SMU, you at some point T did. 5 5 learned that a future California approval would not 6 So we've generally been talking about representations 6 regarding likelihood of approval in California. I want automatically apply to you, but you thought the possibility of retroactive -- there was a possibility to change gears a little bit here and start talking of retroactive application; is that right? about representations as to the quality of the program? 10 Not entirely. 10 Α 11 11 0 In your -- let's see. One second. Okay. So in your interrogatories, you identified 10 areas that you 12 My understanding was -- through my entire time at SMU 12 A believed were misrepresentations in the rebuttal. I'd 13 was that SMU honestly believed and could support a 13 like to walk through each one of those. 14 claim against the California Medical Board to actually 14 obtain approval based on the fact or the alleged fact 15 15 Okav. that -- that the board's report on SMU was clearly 16 The first is the circumstances in ownership under which 16 SMU left Belize. Could you -- we have the SMU rebuttal 17 17 erroneous. I believed that based on that, there would -- if a 18 as an exhibit here. Could you point to the false 18 court ruled or if some other administrative body, the 19 statement regarding that topic for me? 19 Okay. In Objection 2, this again is in reference to 20 medical board itself ruled that the decision the 20 California Board made initially was in error, the 21 St. Matthew's response to the California report. approval would be at the time it should have originally 22 Objection 2, or the portion of objection -- I'm sorry, 22 23 been, which would have been some time in 2005 or 2004. 23 Objection 2 reads, report statement, Page 3, Paragraph 24 Did anybody tell you that it would work that way, any 24 1. Ouote, under new ownership and essentially a 25 SMU representative tell you that it would work that 25 completely new central administration and with a small Page 59 Page 61 cadre from Belize, end quote. 1 1 J.P. Yates posted somewhere that retroactivity is a 2 St. Matthew's says in their objection that there was no change of controlling ownership when the basic 3 possibility. But I don't recall anyone else actually science campus was moved from Belize to the Cayman making that statement. 5 o Okay. Would it have been possible for you to get a Islands in May of 2002. There are news reports from Belize that contradict 6 degree from SMU without passing Pathology II or pharmacology? 7 this story that say that there was essentially new ownership, there was a hostile takeover of the school My understanding is it would not be possible. 8 8 A 9 0 Okav. MS. CORNWALL: I am at a good breaking point. 10 There were reports in news and from Belize that 10 11 THE DEPONENT: I agree. 11 the St. Matthew's campus was -- was -- the actual (Recess at 12:38 p.m., to 1:35 p.m., after which the 12 ownership and control was in dispute. There were 12 13 following proceedings transpired.) 13 security quards brought in from an outside contractor 14 (By Ms. Cornwall) If you had known that SMU would 14 to control the access to the campus. News reports from Belize contradict everything in 15 never be approved in California, would you have gone? 15 Objection Number 2 that their ownership did change, it 16 16 A Even though you told us earlier that you would consider was a new administration. And really the only thing 17 Q 17 18 that's correct is that -- basically everything that 18 practicing elsewhere? California said in that objection is correct. It was 19 19 Α That's right. 20 new ownership, new central administration, only a small 20 0 Do you think -- if you had stayed at Ross, do you think 21 you would have graduated from Ross? 21 group of people from Belize relocated with the school. 22 I don't know. It's hard to -- it's hard to predict. 22 Do you have copies of the reports that you're 23 Is Ross approved in California? It is. 24 I believe they were disclosed earlier. Do you recall 25 25 if they were?

	1	Page (1	Page 64 Belize?
	2	THE DEPONENT: I can tell you they're from		2	A I would have had concerns based on the circumstances in
	3	the San Pedro Sun. It is a newspaper in Belize. It is	1	3	which I now believe to be true in regards to why the
) 4	ī	available online, if you were the way I found it was	١,	1	school left Belize. I don't know if that would have
Í,	5	by Googling the words St. Matthew's University and		5	been enough for me to leave the school, but it would
1	5	lawsuit. That came up.		ĩ	have been a serious concern.
7	, 0	(By Ms. Cornwall) Do you have copies that you could	1 ,	7	Q Okay. Let's turn to duration and tenure of SMU faculty
8		provide to your attorney?		3	and administration. And a specific allegation you made
9	A	-	9	,	is that SMU included part-time faculty in order to
10		It's still online, or it was as of a few weeks ago.	10		I'm quoting you here, boost its numbers?
11	Q	And these reports would be	11		A Yes.
12	A		12	(Can you point tell me about or point me to what was
13		These reports would be the only	13		said you believe is untrue?
14		MS. GOODWIN: Let her finish.	14	2	Well, the statement in the rebuttal I'm sorry, I
15		(By Ms. Cornwall) The only reason that you believe the	15		need to find it. The use of a part-time faculty, I
16		statement in the SMU rebuttal to be false?	16		know it's referenced somewhere in this document. I
17	A	I believe it to be false because it's contained in the	17		think it's in the later interrogatory not
18		California report as well. St. Matthew's objection to	18		interrogatory, objection, says that only a very small
19		be false because	19		number of the quote, unquote, faculty in the Maine
20	Ω	Aside from the California report, and the newspaper	20		campus were retired or part-time. That isn't true.
21	-	reports, do you have any other reason to believe that	21		The overwhelming majority were part-time as
22		the statement in the SMU rebuttal is false?	22		Dr. Pringle testified to earlier today. He employed
23	A	Those are the only two sources I have, yes.	23		part-time faculty who were still employed in their
24	Q	Okay. Do you have a reason or I'm sorry, when you	24		practices. He employed fellows fellows in
25	•	read the SMU rebuttal in February of 2005, did you have	25		cardiology to teach cardiovascular drugs in the
Ļ			┼		
) 1		Page 63 reason to be concerned about who owned the school or	1		Page 65 pharmacology program.
2		under what conditions SMU left Belize?	2	Q	Can I stop you for a second there?
3	A	That wasn't something I questioned. I had when I	3	A	Sure.
4		read it in the report, I glanced over it as something	4	Q	Do you believe let me start over. This morning
5		that really wasn't all that important at the time. And	5		Dr. Pringle talked about using part-time professors for
6		I believed what St. Matthew's said in their rebuttal.	5		the pharmacology course, was that true of all your
7		I didn't think St. Matthew's would misrepresent their	7		courses?
8		ownership or the circumstances in which they left	8	A	In Maine, yes.
9		Belize.	9	Q	So all of your courses had
10	Q	I'm sorry, what I mean to say is that why would that	10	A	All of the courses I took in Maine included at least
11	-	topic be important to you at all regardless of which	11		one or more part-time faculty members.
12		way	12	Q	And where is the statement I'm sorry, you said the
13	Α	Well, the stability of the school for one, if it's	13		statement was what in the rebuttal?
14		constantly changing hands Ross one of the things	14	A	I need to find it.
15		I didn't like about Ross, it was bought and sold	15	Q	While you're looking, can I clarify something else?
16		several times. It leads to instability in both the	16	A	Sure.
17		faculty and the administration.	17	Q	When you say all of your classes had a part-time
18		If St. Matthew's left Belize under new ownership,	18		faculty member, did most of them or you can tell me how
19		what's to say that couldn't happen again in the Cayman	19		much they had also a full professor and then help from
20		Islands. It would be a concern that essentially the	20		part-time faculty, or were courses completely taught by
21		school is a commodity that's easily bought and sold and	21		part-time faculty members?
22		can be relocated essentially at the will of a new	22	A	Essentially all the courses with the exception of
23			23		pathology in Maine were taught in their almost entirety
24	Q		24		by part-time faculty.
5	-			Q	So genetics?
-					
					i

_						•	
	1	A	Page (Genetics was entirely taught by part-time faculty.		1		Concerned about that?
	2	Q	Pathology II?		2	A	Again, when I read the initial California report, I was
-	3	A	Pathology II was taught by Dr. Wilhoite who was a		3	•	also presented with SMU's rebuttal. And at the time, I
3	4	А		4			honestly did not believe a medical school would lie or
1			retired pathologist, and he was assisted by Dr. Pusch				misrepresent anything to a medical board.
	5		who was another part-time or I'm sorry, another			_	I'm sorry. What I mean by that is, was it a concern
	6		retired pathologist. Dr. Pusch was essentially			Q	of if you knew it to be true that your instructors
-	7		part-time. He only taught I believe two to three weeks	1			•
	₿		per semester.	8			were retired or part-time in greater percentages than
	9	Ō	But Dr. Pringle and Dr. Wilhoite were essentially	9			you had thought, why was that why was that
1	0		full-time?	10			concerning to you?
1	1	A	Dr. Wilhoite I would not classify as full-time. He was	11		A	It was a concern for several reasons. First of all,
13	2		not on he was there regularly, but he was not there	12			it's accessibility to faculty. On SMU's campus
1.	3		eight hours a day. He would basically teach in the	13			particularly well, in Maine in particular, it was
14	1		morning for maybe two or three hours, three or four	14			next to impossible to meet with faculty because if a
15	5		days a week and that was the extent of his involvement	15			question arose, they weren't readily available.
16	5		of campus.	16			They either had their own practices, some cases
17	7 (Q	Okay. We can look at what the operative definition of	17			they lived in remote parts of the state. They were not
18	3		full-time is later. Is that term discussed in the	18			readily accessible. There was limited opportunity for
19	ı		rebuttal?	19			interaction with faculty. There were no research
20		A.	I'm still looking for it.	20			opportunities available.
21		2	Why don't you stop.	21			In a sense, if had I known that SMU's campus
22	7	١.	Can we take a break? Actually, if we take a break, I	22			here in Maine essentially didn't have any faculty
23			can find it.	23			members on campus in the courses I was taking with whom
24			MR. LAPP: Sure.	24			I could interact with regularly, I wouldn't have chosen
25			(Recess at 1:47 p.m., to 1:50 p.m., after which the	25			the school.
			,				
Ļ				_			
) [1			Page 67 following proceedings transpired.)	1	Q	,	Page 69 Was Dr. Pringle accessible?
2		,	(By Ms. Cornwall) So I want to confirm, when you said	2	A		He was on campus most of the time. I wouldn't
3	•		that in all the courses you took in Maine, there was at	3			getting an appointment with him wasn't always easy.
4			least one part-time instructor?	4	Q		Dr. Wilhoite?
1	_		-	5	A		He was I wouldn't regard him as accessible. He was
5	A		Yes.	6			only on campus in the early mornings. And after that
6	Q		So all of the courses you took would have been	_			
7			Patient/Doctor 4, Pharmacology, Pathology II, genetics,	7			you could speak to him after class, but there wasn't
8			and is it clinical diagnostic	8			much opportunity beyond that to interact with him.
9	A		Clinical therapeutics.	9	Q		You said understanding that instructors saying
10	Ō		Clinical therapeutics?	10			that, you know, an eight hour day and complete
11	A		Yes.	11			availability for college instructors or graduate school
12	Q		And I know you weren't able to find it in there in the	12			instructors is not necessarily the norm, would you say
13		:	rebuttal in a quick run through, is it your	13			that Dr. Pringle, Dr. Wilhoite and Dr. Pusch or any one
14		;	recollection that the statement referred to just those	14		•	of them fell into the category of people who were
15			fourth semester classes, or to all the classes that	15		•	unaccessible to you?
16		1	were taught in Maine?	16	A		Yes.
17	A	1	Again, to my recollection, I'd be a lot more	17	Q	1	Because they were retired or part-time?
18		(comfortable if I could actually find it, but after just	18	A	3	Yes.
19		á	a preliminary scan of the rebuttal, my recollection was	19	Q	1	which ones?
20		ŧ	that SMU alleged that only a small minority of faculty	20	A	7	All.
21		v	were either part-time or retired. In actuality, what I	21	Q	c	Okay. And did you believe there was a quality of
22			Found particularly on the Maine campus is that the	22		i	Instruction problem with part-time or retired faculty?
23			overwhelming majority were part-time or retired or	23	A		res.
24				24	Q		'an you explain?
25	0			25	A		With retired faculty, they're not often in touch with
	¥	n	7 100 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-		-		

	ı	Page 7		L	Page 76 That would be the standard curriculum, but it certainly
	2 (-	1		wasn't what every student took.
3		pathology and pharmacology?	3		Q Aside from not having someone sit you down and say the
) 4			4		magic words, would you like an extended pathway, do you
5			5		see that you were given longer than it would take the
6	_	did you begin your fifth semester classes at all?	6		average person to pass these courses?
7			7		MS. GOODWIN: Objection.
8		course, and I did take that in the summer of 2006.	8		THE DEPONENT: No, I don't I don't say I
وا			9		was given longer than the average person. I was given
- 1		pharmacology and pathology; right?	10		additional attempts to pass courses and that's that
10			1		•
11	A	I'm sorry, in the fall of yes. In terms of only SMU	11		was in the catalog, that was in the handbook. That was
12	_	courses.	12	_	not listed as part of the extended pathway program.
13	Q	And in the summer, you had the clinical	13	Q	•
14	A	Therapeutics.	14		pathway would be available, would you have left SMU in
15	Q	therapeutics, and how many was that a course that	15		February of 2005?
16		had the same unit value as pharmacology and pathology?	16	. А	I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
17	A	No.	17	Q	If you had not read that an extended pathway would be
18	Q	How many what would be the size or the work involved	18		available, would you have left SMU in February of 2005?
19		in that course relative to the big courses like	19		MS. GOODWIN: Just going to object because I
20		pharmacology and pathology?	20		don't know if had read when.
21	A	It was a two credit class, I believe.	21	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) In the rebuttal? If the
22	Q	Whereas pharmacology would be?	22		representation regarding the existence of an extended
23	A	I don't recall, I think maybe six, seven.	23		pathway had not been in the rebuttal, if that
24	Q	Okay. So wouldn't you say that you effectively created	24		representation had not been made
25		an extended pathway over those three terms?	25	A	Let me make sure I'm understanding your question. Are
		Page 75			Page 77
1	A	No, because it wasn't offered nothing was offered to	1		Page 77 you asking me that if I assuming I didn't know the
2		me to actually take anything else out of sequence. I	2		existence of an extended pathway, would I have
3		wasn't offered the opportunity to take Pathology I on	3		continued at SMU?
4		its own or pharmacology I'm sorry, Pathology II on	4	Q	Correct.
5		its own or pharmacology. I wasn't given that	5	A	I can't say that my decision to stay at SMU was
6		opportunity. That wasn't an extended pathway. I	6		contingent on my knowledge of an extended pathway.
7		failed a course. I had to repeat it. That's not a	7	Q	Okay. Let's turn to existence of financial aid entry
8		means of extending the program.	8		and exit interviews. What is the representation that
9	Q	You didn't pursue the you did not finish those	9		is false with respect to those, if you remember, if you
10		classes in the ordinary pathway; correct?	10		can find it?
11.	A	The ordinary pathway being?	11		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 8 was marked for
12	Q	Passing them the first time in spring	12		identification.)
13	А	No, I did not pass them.	13	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Was it just the existence of exit
14	Q	-	14	-	and entry interviews?
15	~		15	A	I want to see what it actually said. I don't recall.
16	A		16		Can you please repeat your last question?
17	Q			Q	You said that the existence of financial aid entry and
	~		18	~	exit interviews
18		· · · · ·			
19		· •		A	Yes. And that that would be in regards to Objection
	A		20		Number 8 made by SMU in the rebuttal to the California
	Q -	1	21		report. And their objection contains several factually
	A	-	22		incorrect statements. To quote directly, entrance and
23			23		exit interviews, as well as quote, unquote, debt
24			24		management presentations by the director of financial
25.		curriculum that was different took different courses.	25		aid each semester directly educate and inform students
		1			

		Page 7	8 1		Page 80
1		regarding their financial and issues, including loan consolidation and maintaining good credit.	2		definition, yes, my signature indicates my
2		In my entire six semesters at SMU, at no time was	3		acknowledgement of reading and understanding these
(3		I ever given any opportunity to attend a debt	4		terms.
1 -		management presentation by the financial aid office or	5		(By Ms. Cornwall) Okay. Now assuming that you did not
5		•	6	¥	receive an entrance or exit interview, would you have
6		anyone else.	7		left SMU in 2005 if you had known that you would not
7	Q	Okay. Let's focus on the exit and entry interviews.	8		receive a student loan exit interview?
8		This is marked as Exhibit 8. Can you tell me what that	وا	A	Based solely on that fact alone, no. But it would
9		is?	10	A	raise serious questions as to the school's
10	Α	Yes, it's a signature for on a loan entrance and	111		administration.
11		exit interview form.	12	Q	Not receiving a student loan exit interview would raise
12	Q	So your signature here says that you had a student loan	13	v	serious questions as to the school's administration?
13		entrance and exit interview?		A	Yes.
14	A	No, I never had an interview.	14	0	Was there anything about your loans you didn't
15	Q	Do you know that student loan exit student loan exit	15	ý	
16		and entry interviews are standard in college, it's not	16		understand?
17		a sit down interview?	17	A	I don't know if there's anything I didn't understand.
18	A	Yes, I'm aware of that.	18		At the time I don't recall anything.
19	Q	What do you think an exit	19	Q	Have you subsequently learned of anything that you
20	A	An interview would be an actual interview. And no	20		any information you think should have been conveyed to
21		interview ever took place. No meeting with the	21		you on an exit interview that you did not receive?
22		financial aid office, no discussion with the financial	22	A	I can't think of anything.
23		aid office ever took place before this document was	23	Q	Let's look at the debt management presentations part.
24		signed.	24		Did you take out solely enough debt to cover your
25	Q	Where did you get this document?	25		education expenses, or did you take out more debt than
		Page 79	1		Page 81
1	A	The financial aid office, I believe.	2	A	Well, if you include education expenses, living
2	Q	And there was no discussion	3	•	expenses, costs of food, housing, that's what I
3	A	None.	4		borrowed, yes.
4		MS. GOODWIN: Let her finish the question.	5	Q	Do you think that you were irresponsible in taking out
5		THE DEPONENT: I'm sorry.	6	×	debt?
6	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) of loans you were applying for on	7	A	I can't say there was anything I did wrong given the
. 7		any other forms? It doesn't have to be an oral	8	А	cost of living in the Cayman Islands. It's very
8		discussion, there was no exchange of information	9		•
9		regarding your finances or your application for loans?	10	_	expensive. So do you think you personally had any issues with debt
10	A	I never spoke to anyone with the financial aid office.		Q.	
11		As far as I know, prior to this loan this document	11		management?
12		being signed, I really don't recall anything the	12	A	Personally, no.
13		financial aid office ever spoke to me about in regards	13	Q	So would the existence of debt management presentations
14		to my finances.	14		have changed your behavior in any way?
			. ~		75 - h 333-3- mah
	Q	Let's say the purpose of an entrance a student loan	15	A	Most likely not.
15	Q	entrance interview is to convey to you the information	16	A Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is
15 16	Q	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities	16 17		Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with
15 16 17	Q	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the	16 17 18		Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine
15 16 17 18	Q	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing	16 17 18 19	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses?
15 16 17 18	Q .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing this form, did you state that you had read that	16 17 18 19 20	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses?
15 16 17 18 19	Q .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing	16 17 18 19 20	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses? Okay. Can you point me to what was said that was false about
15 16 17 18 19 20	· .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing this form, did you state that you had read that	16 17 18 19 20	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses? Okay. Can you point me to what was said that was false about the similarities between the two campuses? Actually,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing this form, did you state that you had read that information and gotten this entrance interview? MS. GOODWIN: Object to form. MS. CORNWALL: I said if.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses? Okay. Can you point me to what was said that was false about the similarities between the two campuses? Actually, can we stop and go back for a second. I want to go
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing this form, did you state that you had read that information and gotten this entrance interview? MS. GOODWIN: Object to form.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses? Okay. Can you point me to what was said that was false about the similarities between the two campuses? Actually,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q .	entrance interview is to convey to you the information typed here on this form of borrower responsibilities and borrower rights, by signing this if that's the case, that's what an entrance interview is, by signing this form, did you state that you had read that information and gotten this entrance interview? MS. GOODWIN: Object to form. MS. CORNWALL: I said if.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q	Okay. So let's go on to the next one which I think is one that we talked about a little bit this morning with Dr. Pringle, the claimed similarities between the Maine and Cayman campuses? Okay. Can you point me to what was said that was false about the similarities between the two campuses? Actually, can we stop and go back for a second. I want to go

Γ			Page :	32		Page 8
	1	Q	If you look at the objection that SMU makes, this is	3	Ł	the pathology course in Maine had several more exams
	2		the extended pathway that we were having trouble	2	?	than the pathology course in the Cayman Islands.
	3		finding earlier?	3	ŀ	The grading policy was different in that extra
) .	4	A	Okay.	4		credit points were not given universally and all exams
1 :	5	Q	What in that objection is a false statement?	5		did not have equal weight. The use of SHELF exams was
,	5	A	The ability to the existence of a program that	6		subject to different use, usage and grading on each
7	, .		exists to allow someone to extend their their the	7		campus.
	3		duration of their instruction.	8		The objection also states that departmental
9	3	Q	This says that it's rarely used and applicable only to	9		meetings occur at least once per semester via realtime
10)		students with exceptional physical or learning	10		teleconferencing, that's something that I can't comment
11			disabilities; right?	11		to. But I like I said, the books might have been
12		A	No, it does not say that. It says such as blindness,	12		the same or similar, but the syllabi were very
13			deafness or documented learning disabilities. It	13		different. The exams were very different. The grading
14			doesn't say that those are to the exclusion of those	14		policies were different.
1				15	0	-
15		_	factors.		v	
16		Q	Would you mind reading the sentence that starts	16		you take the pharmacology and Pathology II exams in
17			SMUSOM's into the record, please?	17		on the Cayman campus in the Cayman course?
18		A	This is a complete mischaracterization of SMUSOM's	18	A	
19			extended pathway program. SMUSOM's program is a rarely	19	Q	This morning Dr. Pringle told us that those exams were
20			used, purely internal program wherein, upon approval	20		largely the same, and that the professors on the two
21			from the dean, students with exceptional physical or	21		campuses came up with questions and then reconciled
22			learning disabilities such as blindness, deafness or	22		them, where do you get knowledge to the contrary?
23			documented learning disabilities are allowed to extend	23	A	Knowledge to the contrary comes from other students and
24			their basic science coursework over six semesters	24		the exam schedule that was published by SMU on their
25			rather than five.	25		website for the Cayman campus.
ĺ						
۲			VIII	1		
<i>]</i> • 1			Page 83 This program in no way involves other	1	Q	Page 85 Let's talk about the content of the exam, less so than
2			institutions, offshore sites, or meeting preadmission	2		the scheduling?
3			academic requirements.	3	A	Okay. The content of the exam also differed just as
4	Q		Do you have any exceptional physical disabilities?	4		Dr. Pringle admitted, the fact each faculty member
5	A		No.	5		at least in pharmacology in Maine wrote up their own
	-		Do you have any exceptional learning disabilities?	6		questions. That's very different than the two or three
6	Q			7		faculty members they had in Cayman for pharmacology
7	A		Not that I'm aware of.	İ		
8	Q		Okay. Now let's go back to the similarities between	8		writing questions. Clearly there's going to be some
9			the Maine and Cayman campuses. I believe it's	9		discrepancies between the two exams.
10			Objection Number 23.	10		I also don't believe that all the part-time
11	A		Okay. In regards to Objection 23, the course titles	11		faculty members used in pharmacology in Maine ever
12			are identical, but the the objection says SMUSOM	12		teleconferenced with the two faculty members in the
13			Grand Cayman and Maine have the same books, syllabi,	13		Cayman Islands.
14			exams and grading policy.	14	Q	Do you have any proof of that, or that's just a hunch
15			That is not at all true. When my time there,	15		that you have that there was no teleconferencing?
16			that is entirely false. The books may have been the	16	A	I have no proof. But I would I would be very
17			same. They used the standard textbooks that were used	17		surprised if it was.
18			by essentially all medical schools, but the syllabus	18	Q	Did anybody ever tell you there was no
19			was subject to constant revision.	19		teleconferencing?

was subject to constant revision.

The campus in Maine had -- the campus in Maine had exam questions written by the faculty resident in Maine, and as Dr. Pringle testified to earlier, they were given considerable latitude in selecting the type of question used.

The exam frequency was very different. Many --

30

22

23

24

25

surprised if it was.

18 Q Did anybody ever tell you there was no
teleconferencing?

20 A Not explicitly.

21 Q Someone implied to you there was no teleconferencing?

22 A I never really discussed the issue of teleconferencing with anyone.

Q Did you ever see exams from the Cayman courses at the same time you were taking Pathology II or pharmacology

1		Page in Maine, did you ever see the comparable exam from the	36	Q	Page 8 So you did not take Pathology II or pharmacology in
2		Cayman course?	2		Cayman?
3	A	No, generally exams weren't returned to students.	3	A	No, I did not.
4	Q	So your belief the exams were different comes from what	4	Q	So your knowledge that extra credit was given on Cayman
5		you were told by other students?	5		Pathology II and pharmacology exams would come from
6	A	That, and knowing that the exam schedule differed	6		where?
7		considerably.	7	A	Secondhand sources.
8	Q	I'm just talking about the content of the exam?	8	Q	Like?
9	A	Well, the content of the exam wouldn't be the same if	وا	A	Other students.
10		the scheduling was the same. The content of the exams	10	Q	And other students from the Cayman campus you
11		in Maine differed in part because it was a different	11		communicated with how?
12		exam schedule and the exams happened with more	12	A	Through instant messaging, emails.
13		frequency. Obviously, the exams in Maine covered more	13	Q	And what about use of SHELF examinations?
14		narrow were more narrow in scope than the exams in	14	A	Use of the policy in Maine was never set as to how
15		Cayman.	15		the SHELF examinations would be used or graded. In
16	Q	Do you think it's possible that there was a let's	16		the in my actually, I think in all three
17	×	say a 20 question exam in Maine and then two 10	17		semesters, I was in Maine until the very end of the
18		question exams in the Caymans so that everyone got the	18		semester. I remember speaking to the student affairs
. 9		same exam questions, but scheduled differently, is that	19		office with just a few weeks left in a semester, and we
20			20		still weren't certain how many points or what
		like a logical possibility?	21		percentage the SHELF exam would count toward the final
1	A	I don't believe it's a logical possibility. Why would	22		grade.
2		you give someone the same exam questions at different	1		grade. But right now we're talking about differences between
3		times, that would ruin that would raise very serious	23	Q	
4		questions as to security issues.	24		Maine and Cayman?
5	Q	Okay. For the syllabi, did you see Cayman syllabi, and	25	A	Right. That's what that's one of the differences.
		Page 87			Page 89
1.		do you have any of those Cayman syllabi to show they're	1		Maine my understanding was the Cayman campus, their
2		different from the Maine syllabi?	2		syllabus was fixed. In Maine it was more fluid.
3	A	I personally don't have any, but I did see the	3	Q	We were talking about SHELF exams?
4		differences. One I think was posted online somewhere	4	A	That's yes, we were. The SHELF exam grade was not
5		that I did see at one point.	5		finally determined until well into the semester. So
5	Q	Can you direct us to that?	6		what what I would have needed to score on a SHELF
,	A	I don't recall where it was. I think it might have	7		exam to pass a course changed based on what the final
}		been on SMU's angel site. I'm not sure, though.	8		determination was as to what the SHELF exam would count
}	Q	Do you have any printouts about the exam frequency, the	9		for, would the SHELF exam count for 10 percent, would
		scheduling?	10		it count for 20 percent, what was the weight given to
	A	In Maine, yes, I do.	11		the SHELF exam in the course.
	Q	In Cayman?	12	Q	Did you change the way you took the SHELF exam based on
	A	In Cayman, I do not.	13		what you thought the weight would be?
			i	Α	It influenced my decision on how I would study for each
	Q	The grading policy and the giving of extra credit,	14		
	Q	The grading policy and the giving of extra credit, where did you get information that extra credit was	14		course.
1	Q			Q	course. So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than
	Q A	where did you get information that extra credit was	15		į –
		where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman?	15 16 17		So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than
i		where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times	15 16 17	Q	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have?
i		where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times in Cayman where exam questions were thrown out or just	15 16 17 18	Q	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have? It's next to impossible to study for a SHELF exam, it's
i		where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times in Cayman where exam questions were thrown out or just arbitrarily everyone was just given credit for those.	15 16 17 18	Q A	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have? It's next to impossible to study for a SHELF exam, it's studying for the exams that lead up to it.
i		where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times in Cayman where exam questions were thrown out or just arbitrarily everyone was just given credit for those. It was common practice in the Cayman Islands when I was	15 16 17 18 19	Q A	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have? It's next to impossible to study for a SHELF exam, it's studying for the exams that lead up to it. So had you known that SHELF exams would carry more
i	A	where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times in Cayman where exam questions were thrown out or just arbitrarily everyone was just given credit for those. It was common practice in the Cayman Islands when I was there, and it continued into the third and fourth	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have? It's next to impossible to study for a SHELF exam, it's studying for the exams that lead up to it. So had you known that SHELF exams would carry more weight, you would have studied harder for the SHELF
i	A	where did you get information that extra credit was being given in Cayman? Other students. There were other there were times in Cayman where exam questions were thrown out or just arbitrarily everyone was just given credit for those. It was common practice in the Cayman Islands when I was there, and it continued into the third and fourth semesters based on what I've heard from other students.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	So you might have studied less for a SHELF exam than you otherwise would have? It's next to impossible to study for a SHELF exam, it's studying for the exams that lead up to it. So had you known that SHELF exams would carry more weight, you would have studied harder for the SHELF exam?

		Page 9 material that might not necessarily be taught in that	0 1		Page 92 the exams or syllabi or exam schedules or anything else
2		subject, but is relevant. It's a national exam. It's	2		from the Caymans that would confirm that the two
3		a standardized exam.	3		campuses are different?
) 4		If I had known the weight would have been	4	A	I personally don't have those, no. But it would seem
5		different, I would have known I would have been able	5		likely that SMU would probably maintain such records.
6		to gauge my performance, known the likelihood of	6		This morning Dr. Pringle said that what he told people
			7		was that they made efforts to make the courses as equal
7		passing based on other exams leading up to it. I would	8		as possible. He said no two individuals are ever going
8		have known what each exam actually counts for. It	وا		to teach an identical course, but that efforts were
9		was on the Maine campus it was like trying to hit a	10		made to make the courses as equal as possible, does
10		moving target. I didn't know what the final grading	111		that sound like what he told you
11	_	policy would be until near the end of the semester.	12	A	No.
12	Q	So you would have studied harder for the other tests?	}		at the time?
13	A	I would have studied harder, I would have budgeted my	13	0	No, not at all.
14		time differently.	14	A	What did he tell you at the time?
15	Q	So you did not study as hard as you could have?	15	ō	In meetings with him, he always prided himself on the
16	A	I didn't say that. I said I would have budgeted my	16	A	fact that he saw the Maine campus as being superior.
17		time differently. I would have focused on other things	17		
18		at different times.	18		He saw the instruction there as being more rigorous and
19	Q	Are you saying that you would have studied you would	19		a better preparation.
20		have changed the distribution between pharmacology and	20	Q	Is your complaint that the courses were too good in
21		Pathology II of your study time?	21		Maine?
22	A	Yes. Based on different times in the semester during	22	A	By good, are you referring to quality?
23		when exam based on the exam schedule.	23	Q	Yes.
24	Q	And this is all based on the weight that would be given	24	A	No. I'm not saying that at all. The courses in Maine
25		the SHELF exam?	25		for reasons I've already stated I thought were left
			l		
)		Page 91			Page 93
1	A	Yes. And all the other exams. You can't change the	1		a lot to be desired. And I would not regard them as
2		weight of one exam without changing the weight of the	2		quality. I would regard them as difficult, but
3		other exams.	3		certainly not quality.
4	Q	Okay. Let's go back for a second. You talked about	4	Q	So you believe the Cayman courses were higher quality?
5		speaking to students on the Cayman campus about all of	5	A	I'm again, I don't know. I'm not going to speculate
6		these issues, use of the SHELF exam, whether they got	6		as to which campus had higher quality.
7		extra credit, when their exams were, what the content	7	Q	What I'm trying to get at is why it mattered to you
8		of their exams were, what their syllabi said, do you	8		that there were differences between the two campuses?
9		have any of these IMs or emails?	9	A	There were differences because there were discrepancies
10	A	No, I don't save IMs.	10		in grading. And when I went to the Maine campus, I did
11	Q	Emails?	11		it based on SMU's representations that the campuses
12	A	Don't think so.	12		would be equal. They weren't.
13	Q.	What other students did you talk to?	13	Q	You enrolled in the St. Joseph's program when you first
14	A	I'm trying to think of names and some of them escape me	14		enrolled in SMU; correct?
15		right now. Right now some of the names are escaping	15	A	That is correct.
16		me.	16	Q	And in order to achieve the MHSA, you had to do your
17	Q		17		fourth semester in Maine; correct?
18		·	18	A	I don't believe it was required to complete the MHSA to
19		· ·	19		come to Maine.
	A		20	Q Q	You could have completed the entire MHSA degree from
21	Q Q	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21		Cayman?
22	•			A	I believe so, yes.
	A	Frig.	23	Q.	Did you apply for the federal Stafford loans through
24		,,,,,	24	-	the St. Joseph's program?
	Q			λ	I did.
ر.	×	and lon court and or one convention or and or	-		
		•			•

		Page 9			Page 96
] 3	i Q	And in order to get that financial aid, would you have	1		St. Matthew's told me. I didn't rely on what ValueMD
1 2	2	had to have been in Maine?	2		said. I regard a lot of what ValueMD what's on
√\ 3	3 A	For the fourth semester, yes.	3		ValueMD as rumors because it's posted it's posted
) 4	ı Q	So when you entered SMU, you had decided to also enter	4		completely anonymously, anyone can open an account
5	5	the St. Joseph's program and get your MHSA; correct?	5		completely anonymously and post whatever they want. A
6	A	Correct.	6		lot of what's on there, even now, I still believe a lot
7	Q	And you needed the federal Stafford loans in order to	7		of what's on ValueMD, unless it can be attributed to a
8		complete the MHSA; correct?	8		known source is a rumor.
9	A	I needed the federal staff are you asking if I	9	Q	So your sources for knowledge about the Cayman campus
10		needed I don't understand the question. Are you	10		are other students with whom you IM'd and emailed, not
11		asking if I actually needed the federal Stafford loans	11		postings on ValueMD?
12		to complete the master's degree in health	12	À	No, I didn't rely on anything on ValueMD to when I
13		administration?	13		say there were differences between the two campuses,
14	Q	Did you need the loans to complete the degree?	14		that's not based on what I read on ValueMD.
15	A	Yes.	15	Q	But you can't remember the names of the students
16	Q	So you had to be in Maine for your fourth semester	16	A	There were some students I talked to via IM who I was
17		regardless of any representations?	17		acquainted with. And then there were other discussions
18	A	I wouldn't have said that, no, I could have used credit	18		I had with students who were actually on the Maine
19		cards to pay for the tuition for the MHSA if I would	19		campus who kept in closer touch with students who
20		have been able to take it online.	20		remained in Cayman.
21	Q	That is, if you're correct that you could have taken	21	Q	So there were some students whose names you can't
22		you could have completed the MHSA entirely from Cayman?	22		remember who were directly in the courses in Cayman,
23	A	Right. And it's my belief that that would have been an	23		and some of the information came from other Maine
24		option since the MHSA at St. Joseph's College is	24		students telling you that they had talked to students
25		predominantly offered online.	25		in Cayman?
					·
f			 		
1	Q	Page 95 Did you have a meeting with Dr. Pringle where you	1	A	Page 97
2		stated a desire to go to the Cayman campus?	2	Ω	When you were here because of hurricane Ivan, when you
3	A	I did ask in I believe it was the either the spring	3		were on the temporary campus, did you visit the Windham
4		or the summer of 2006 if it would be a possibility for	4		campus where the fourth and fifth semester courses
5		me to transfer back to the Cayman campus, and I asked	5		were?
6		Dr. Pringle that question. And I was told no, once I'm	6	A	No.
7	•	in Maine, I was obligated to complete the program in	7	_	
8		Walna		Q	You never went?
9			8	Q A	i
	0	Maine. Are you sure that you weren't told that you could	8 9	_	You never went? No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was.
10	Q	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could		_	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where
10 11	Q	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep	9	λ	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was.
11	Q A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans?	9 10	λ	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses
11 12		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep	9 10 11	λ	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam
11 12 13		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking	9 10 11 12 13	λ	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in
11 12 13		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's	9 10 11 12 13	Q .	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine?
11 12 13 14		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even	9 10 11 12 13	A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes.
11 12 13 14 15		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's
11 12 13 14 15 16	A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16		Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford funding?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about some differences.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about some differences. Were there disagreements that you recall on ValueMD,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A A	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford funding? Yes. Do you believe the courses in Maine were more rigorous
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about some differences. Were there disagreements that you recall on ValueMD, some students saying that there were vast differences	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	A Q A Q A A	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford funding? Yes. Do you believe the courses in Maine were more rigorous than the courses in Cayman for just Pathology II and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	Q A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about some differences. Were there disagreements that you recall on ValueMD, some students saying that there were vast differences between the campuses and others saying there weren't?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford funding? Yes. Do you believe the courses in Maine were more rigorous than the courses in Cayman for just Pathology II and pharmacology?
11 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 233	Q A	Are you sure that you weren't told that you could transfer to the Cayman campus, but you couldn't keep your federal Stafford loans? Yes, I'm sure that's that's what he said. He did not we weren't discussing loans, we were speaking solely on whether or not I could stay at St. Matthew's and return to the Cayman Islands campus. I even said I even I qualified the question with regardless of St. Joseph's, can I go back. Did you read any of the traffic back and forth on ValueMD about the differences between the two campuses? I think I may have seen something, I mean, 2005, about some differences. Were there disagreements that you recall on ValueMD, some students saying that there were vast differences between the campuses and others saying there weren't?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A A	No. I drove through Windham, but I didn't know where the campus was. If you had known, assuming it to be true that courses in the Caymans were graded more generously, the exam schedule was more generous, would you have stayed in the Cayman campus rather than coming up to Maine? Yes. Even if that meant you could not be in the St. Joseph's program? Yes. And would you have transferred back to the Cayman campus even if it meant losing federal Stafford funding? Yes. Do you believe the courses in Maine were more rigorous than the courses in Cayman for just Pathology II and

	J		
Page difficult.	98	Į.	Page lestudents were not advised to withdraw from classes is
hey more difficult?		2	what?
ions were structured more in a more	3	A	First of all, it's a misrepresentation because it
manner. In pharmacology, it was a challenge	4	,	happened routinely.
-	5	. 0	What happened routinely?
	- 1	_	
			office or Dr. Pringle would advise students to withdraw
<u> </u>			from classes.
-	- 1		Do you think you would have passed pharmacology and
	- 1	-	Pathology II in spring, 2006, if you had not withdrawn?
			I believe that my it would have been likely in
			pharmacology because the last two exams at least the
•			last exam, probably the exam leading up to that were
_	ı		made increasingly easy. The average on the first exam
	-		was incredibly low, but the final exam in pharmacology,
-			I believe the average was in the 90s.
		¥	If you had not been advised to withdraw, would you have
		_	stayed in those courses or withdrawa?
			I don't know.
	- 1	Q	If you had stayed in the classes and failed them,
			spring, 2006, you would be in the same position with
	1		respect to a combination of three withdrawals or
ions?		_	failures; correct?
	ļ		Correct.
or question did you prefer?	25	Q	Would you have left SMU if the rebuttal had stated that
Page 9			Page 101
	1		students are sometimes advised to withdraw if they were
t recall having asked Dr. Pringle to ask	2		failing courses and are confident they can pass in a
to put more conceptual questions on the	3		subsequent term?
tailed questions?	4	A	I wouldn't have withdrawn on the basis of that
ll explicitly asking anyone to change the	5		statement alone.
ns.	6	Q	Would you have left SMU at the time Dr. Pringle advised
ber telling Dr. Pringle that you did better	7		you to withdraw if he had not I'm sorry. You're
ual questions than detailed questions?	8		saying Dr. Berman now?
Dr. Pringle I'd do better with conceptual	9	A	Yes.
pposed to more detail oriented.	10	Q	You would have left SMU at the time Dr. Berman advised
know anything about the exam being	11		you to withdraw if he had advised you not to withdraw?
clude more conceptual questions?	12	A	I'm sorry, what?
clude more conceptual questions?	12	A	I'm sorry, what? MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that
	1	A	•
I know, no exam was ever changed on the	13	A	MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that
I know, no exam was ever changed on the	13	A	MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that question was.
I know, no exam was ever changed on the hing I ever did.	13 14 15	A Q	MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that question was. THE DEPONENT: Can we take a break?
I know, no exam was ever changed on the hing I ever did. talk about withdrawals. This is the next say that the SMU rebuttal stated that	13 14 15		MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that question was. THE DEPONENT: Can we take a break? MR. LAPP: Try to ask that question again.
I know, no exam was ever changed on the hing I ever did. talk about withdrawals. This is the next say that the SMU rebuttal stated that not advised to withdraw from classes. Is	13 14 15 16		MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that question was. THE DEPONENT: Can we take a break? MR. LAPP: Try to ask that question again. (By Ms. Cornwall) I actually don't need to ask that
I know, no exam was ever changed on the hing I ever did. talk about withdrawals. This is the next say that the SMU rebuttal stated that not advised to withdraw from classes. Is with the statement that Dr. Pringle did	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	MS. GOODWIN: I have no idea what that question was. THE DEFONENT: Can we take a break? MR. LAPP: Try to ask that question again. (By Ms. Cornwall) I actually don't need to ask that question. Ill-conceived. You still want a break?
the light is a second of the light is a second	't recall having asked Dr. Pringle to ask e to put more conceptual questions on the etailed questions? all explicitly asking anyone to change the ons. There telling Dr. Pringle that you did better cual questions than detailed questions?	ture period. Ammer of 2006, did you talk to Dr. Pringle who Dr. Wilhoite who changed the Pathology II aged some of the phrasing of the questions on logy II exam at your asking? Inderstand your question. It with another question. Is it true do you on open ended questions, or do you do better decific questions on exams? on't see how that's relevant because all tions at St. Matthew's were all multiple there were no open ended questions. Inhoite change the wording of exam questions quest? lieve he ever did. a difference between conceptual multiple stions and more detail oriented multiple stions? Page 99 't recall having asked Dr. Pringle to ask to put more conceptual questions on the etailed questions? all explicitly asking anyone to change the ons. Their telling Dr. Pringle that you did better total questions than detailed questions?	ture period. mmer of 2006, did you talk to Dr. Pringle who Dr. Wilhoite who changed the Pathology II aged some of the phrasing of the questions on logy II exam at your asking? destand your question. with another question. Is it true do you on open ended questions, or do you do better excific questions on exams? on't see how that's relevant because all tions at St. Matthew's were all multiple there were no open ended questions. lhoite change the wording of exam questions quest? lieve he ever did. a difference between conceptual multiple stions and more detail oriented multiple stions? Page 99 't recall having asked Dr. Pringle to ask e to put more conceptual questions on the etailed questions? all explicitly asking anyone to change the ons. here telling Dr. Pringle that you did better cual questions than detailed questions?

22 Q

23

25

opportunities?

Okay.

I don't recall he was the one who advised me to

believe it was Dr. Berman.

25

actually withdraw. That actual advice came from I

Dr. Berman. Your problem with the statement that

(By Ms. Cornwall) Let's talk about research

What misstatements were made to you regarding research

					
		Page 10	2 1		Page 104
		opportunities?	2		believe Portland, Oregon.
		MS. GOODWIN: I'm sorry, I just want to	3		
\ 3		clarify, are we still just talking about the	4	_	time?
1 -		California? (By Ms. Cornwall) The rebuttal, yes. You said earlier	5		I don't believe he was.
5		•	6	0	Research opportunities, did you have time to do
6		there were no research opportunities to speak of; is	7	-	research?
7		that right?	'8	А	I would have been interested in pursuing it, I would
8		Yes, I'm trying to find the exact reference point in	9	А	have made time if the opportunity had presented itself.
9		the rebuttal.	10	^	You said that you were studying as hard as you could?
10	Q -	I think we can move along.	i	Q A	Yes.
11	A	Okay. If we can digress just for a moment. The	11		And yet you still could not pass Pathology II and
12		question earlier that you asked that I could not find	12	Q	
13		was in terms of the number of retired faculty or	13		pharmacology?
14		part-time faculty, Objection 31 states of the 18	14	A	Yes. Do you think you would have been able to pass Pathology
15		teachers who teach basic science courses in Windham,	15	Q	,
16		Maine, only three are retired, 15 are continuing active	16		II and pharmacology if also doing research?
17		teaching, research, and medical practices. That is	17	A	If I had
18		83 percent are not retired.	18		MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer.
19		Of the full-time faculty, that is a	19		THE DEPONENT: If I had the opportunity to do
20		misrepresentation because essentially all of the	20		research, I would have wanted to use it to increase increase my CV, have some more have something else
21		faculty members who could even be considered full-time	21		
22		on the Windham campus were retired.	22		in my background. And if I had done it, it would have
23	Q	Let's talk about pharmacology and Pathology II?	23		opened up opportunities after my dismissal. (By Ms. Cornwall) And fourth semester students, did
24	A	Okay.	24	Q	fourth semester students generally do research?
25	Q	Dr. Pringle?	25		TOUTER SEMESTER SEMESTERS GENERALLY GO TESCAROM.
ĺ		·			
			 		
		Page 103			Page 105
	A	Yes.	1	A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in
2	Q	Yes. Do you consider him retired?	2		I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research.
2	Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes.	2 3	Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross?
2 3 4	Q	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a	2 3 4		I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at
2 3 4 5	Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher?	2 3	Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project.
2 3 4 5	Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice.	1 2 3 4 5	Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a
2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A	Pres. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A Q Q	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	Pres. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q Q	Pres. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland? Well, I don't know if Windham has an airport, but he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland? Well, I don't know if Windham has an airport, but he flew in. He flew to Maine from somewhere else to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind? No. You allege that Dr. Pringle said withdrawals were not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland? Well, I don't know if Windham has an airport, but he flew in. He flew to Maine from somewhere else to teach.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind? No. You allege that Dr. Pringle said withdrawals were not a big deal and that residency programs would not take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Pes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland? Well, I don't know if Windham has an airport, but he flew in. He flew to Maine from somewhere else to teach. MS. GOODWIN: You said he flew from Portland,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind? No. You allege that Dr. Pringle said withdrawals were not a big deal and that residency programs would not take much notice of them, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Do you consider him retired? Yes. He's working full-time as an administrator and a teacher? He's retired from medical practice. Okay. And Dr. Wilhoite? He is retired as well. He only as far as I know, he only teaches, and then he spends a few hours part-time a week as a practicing pathologist. So he is still practicing pathology? On a part-time basis. And what about Dr. Pusch? Dr. Pusch I believe relocated to I believe it was Oregon to retire. While you were in school? He's been retired. During classes, he flew in from I believe wherever he is, Portland, Maine, I believe. He flew in to teach for a few weeks. He flew to Windham from Portland? Well, I don't know if Windham has an airport, but he flew in. He flew to Maine from somewhere else to teach.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A	I knew of none on the Maine campus who were engaged in research. What about fourth semester students at Ross? There were limited research opportunities while at Ross. I was part of a small research project. As a I believe as a second semester student. Okay. Let's turn to your relationship with Dr. Pringle. How many times how often did you speak with Dr. Pringle about substantive issues, would you say? Maybe once or twice a semester. Toward the end maybe twice. My final semester, I think I spoke with him three times. Did you like him? I honestly can't say I really knew him well enough to form that sort of opinion. Do you think he tried to help you? No. Do you think he had your best interests in mind? No. You allege that Dr. Pringle said withdrawals were not a big deal and that residency programs would not take

	1	o	Page 10	6 1	A	Page 108
	2	×	significantly impact you in a negative way with respect	2		
	3		to residency programs if you subsequently retook and	3	_	me if you think it is accurate?
1	4		did well on the courses?	4	A	
í		A	He didn't add those qualifiers.	5		able to testify to the accuracy of this.
		o Q	You're positive that that's not how he phrased it?	6	0	Assuming this grade sheet is accurate, the November 8th
1		» A	That's not how I recollect the conversation.	7	-	exam would have been exam Number 5; right?
1		o Q	So you understood after the conversation that you could	8	A	That is correct.
	9	¥	withdraw, and it wouldn't be a big deal even if you	9	0	And you had failed exams Number 1, 2, and 3 with as low
10			subsequently did not pass the course?	10	•	a score or lower than exam Number 5?
11		A	Based on that conversation, it appeared that the	11	A	Assuming these numbers are correct, yes.
12			withdrawal would have virtually no impact on my future	12	Q	And then there were two more exams, exam 6 and 7?
13			career.	13	A	That is correct.
- 1			Had you at that time read the provision of the student	14	Q	And you also failed exam 6?
14 15		2	handbook that said a student with a combination of	15	A	That is correct.
16			three withdrawals or failures in a single class would	16	Q	But then you passed exam 7?
i				17	A	According to these, that is correct.
17			be dismissed?	18	Q	Are you do you believe you would have gotten a high
18			Yes.	19	¥	enough score on exam 5 without if you had not spoken
19	-	-	So you knew that a withdrawal could have that effect?	20		to Dr. Pringle that day that you would have passed the
20			Could have what effect?	1		
21	-	!	A combined effect with two failures or another	21	_	course?
22			withdrawal and a failure of dismissal?	22	A	I believe that would have been very likely.
23			Yes, I was aware of that.	23	Q	After failing this exam, you met with Dr. Pringle
24	Q		You in legal filings have said that you failed the	24		again; right?
25			November 8th Pathology II examination because your	25	A	That is correct.
				<u> </u>		The state of the s
)			Page 107	İ		Page 109
1			meeting with your November 7th meeting with	1	Q	At this meeting, did you raise the possibility of
2				l	_	
			Dr. Pringle upset you; is that right?	2	_	readmission with him?
3	A		Dr. Pringle upset you; is that right? Yes.	2	A	readmission with him?
3	A Q		• • •	1		readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you?
l			Yes.	3	A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed
4			Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire	3 4	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you?
4 5	Q		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just	3 4 5	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed
4 5 6	Q A		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes.	3 4 5	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other
5 6 7	Q A Q		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam?	3 4 5 6 7	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a
5 6 7 8	Q A Q		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried	3 4 5 6 7	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking
4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to	3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	:	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were
4 5 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A	;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A	1 1 2 9	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	; ;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	А Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A A Q A	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Marked as Exhibit 9, your grades, if	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that failing grade?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A		Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Marked as Exhibit 9, your grades, if you could turn to the fall, 2006, Pathology II page,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that failing grade? Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Marked as Exhibit 9, your grades, if you could turn to the fall, 2005, Pathology II page, it's Bates Stamped SMU 00440.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that failing grade? Yes. When?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Yes. Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Marked as Exhibit 9, your grades, if you could turn to the fall, 2006, Pathology II page, it's Bates Stamped SMU 00440.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that failing grade? Yes. When? I don't recall the exact date, but it was after the end
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Do you believe that that meeting threw off your entire performance in that course or just Yes. that one exam? I believe that meeting, the timing of it which I tried to reschedule was severely impacted my ability to perform on that exam. Just that one exam? That one exam was would have been if I had passed that one exam, passing the rest of the course would have been likely. Why? Because of the mathematical standing I had in the course at the time. I could have passed the course. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification.) (By Ms. Cornwall) Marked as Exhibit 9, your grades, if you could turn to the fall, 2006, Pathology II page, it's Bates Stamped SMU 00440. I'm sorry, what page was that? It's marked 00440 at the Bates Stamp at the bottom?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q	readmission with him? Yes. And what did he say to you? He said that if — before we even discussed readmission, he said I should possibly consider other avenues. When I asked him about readmission, he gave a roundabout answer, but suggested I consider taking courses at maybe a community college or something of that sort in pharmacology and pathology. And if I were to do that, he would quote, unquote, support my readmission attempt. Okay. You received a failing grade in pharmacology that term; correct? In fall of 2006. Yes. Correct. Did you talk to Dr. Pringle after you received that failing grade? Yes. When? I don't recall the exact date, but it was after the end of the course. And I sent him an email, and he didn't

,		Page l catch a flight. And if I wanted to talk about things		1 ;	Page 112
1		-			
2		we've talked about in the past, he really wasn't	1		•
3		interested in meeting with me.	1		it?
/4 [_		When I did meet with him, he was just he was	4		
5		I asked him about the grievance procedure, the	5		how to go about doing that, and I no one informed me
6		procedure for appeal, appealing a failing grade. And	6		what the procedure or policies were.
7		he basically said that's not his area, I would have to	7	_	
8		talk to Dr. McCutcheon or Dr. Nasser.	8		
9	Q	I want to draw a distinction here between talking to	9	-	
10	_	Dr. Pringle about readmission and processes generally?	10		grade to be from the student handbook?
11	A	Okay.	11		•
12	Q	And talking so talking to him as dean and talking to	Į	_	•
13		him as your pharmacology professor?	13		handbook that addressed? Yas. And based on based on knowledge of an existing
14	A	Okay.	14	A	· -
15	Q	Did you ask him to change your pharmacology grade?	15		grievance procedure, I tried contacting administrators
16	A	I asked him if there was any way I could appeal the	16		as to how to go about doing it.
.7	_	grades.	17	Q	
.8	Q	Did you but that's a process, did you ask him to	18		that's Appendix D to the student handbook?
9		change did you tell him your grade should have been	19		MS. GOODWIN: Just so the record is clear,
0.0		higher and ask him to change the grade?	20		that's Pringle Exhibit 1.
21	A	No, I did not ask him to change the grade in that way.	21	Q -	(By Ms. Cornwall) I'm sorry, yes, Pringle Exhibit 1.
2	Q	Orally or in writing?	22	A	Okay.
3	A	I did not explicitly ask him to change the grade. I	23	Q	Student handbook. What does that student handbook say
4		asked him what the method would be for appealing the	24		the first step of the grievance procedure is?
5		grade.	25	A	Verbal petition to a professor.
			_		
1	Q	Page 111 Okay. After you received your failing grade in	1	Q	Page 113 So did you verbally you've said that you did not
2		Pathology II, did you talk to Dr did you ask	2		verbally ask either Pringle or Wilhoite to change your
ţ		Dr. Wilhoite to change your grade?	3		grade in their courses; correct?
	A	I had no means of contacting Dr. Wilhoite.	4	A	No.
5	Q	You had no means of contacting him orally or in	5	Q	And the second step?
		writing?	6	A	Is written petition to the professor.
	A	Dr. Wilhoite did not have did not have an email	7	Q	And you did not write in writing, ask either of them
		account. He did not make his home phone number known.	8		to increase your grade in their course?
		There was no way I could have contacted him in that	9	A	No.
		way.	10	Q	So the third if you had done both those things, then
	Q	Could you have found him on campus?	11		the third step would be?
	A	Not during the winter break.	12	A	Petition to the academic grievance committee.
	Q	Did you write to anybody and tell them that you had no	13	Q	And you did not obtain a grievance form from the
		way of contacting Dr. Wilhoite?	14		student affairs office; correct?
	Α	Anybody being?	15	A	No.
	Q	Anyone, any SMU official?	16	Q	Or otherwise write up your grievance?
	A	No.	17	A	No.
	Q	You did not submit a grievance to the grievance	18	Q	Okay. In a June letter from my law firm, SMU pointed
		committee; correct?	19		to the student handbook's grievance procedure and
	A	I attempted to, but I was not able to initiate the	20		stated that you could still fill out a grievance, why
		grievance process.	21		didn't you do so at that time?
	Q	Did you know that there were grievance forms available	22	A	First of all, the after the that letter had gone
	~	in the student affairs office?	23		out, SMU was aware of my intention to possibly pursue
	A	No one made that notified me to that.	24		litigation. SMU faculty members including those on the
	Q Q	Did you go to the student affairs office?	25		grievance committee are not tenured, they're employed
		.			į

Page 116 Page 114 anywhere state that you could be readmitted even if you at will or on -- they can be fired at will basically. 1 could not get your failing grades overturned? So I didn't think that I would really be entitled 2 So what I'm getting at here is speaking in the to an objective hearing at that point, especially after initiating an adversarial procedure. abstract for a minute, one school might choose to allow students to appeal a dismissal on the ground that even Let me see if I'm interpreting that correctly. Are you 5 saying that you were concerned that the grievance though they deserve to fail a particular class, they committee would not find in your favor because they have served the university well and have indicated that would be afraid of being fired if they did? 8 they could ultimately wind up successful if given more than the usual number of chances. That, and in addition, I had already lost a semester. And I -- it was a semester late. And I didn't know It's conceivable that a school could have that 10 าก 11 exactly how that would play into the situation. I'd 11 policy; right? already lost a semester of time. And that's -- and 12 Yes, and according to the handbook, St. Matthew's had 12 seeking legal representation, I had already thought I'd 13 such a policy. 1.3 been damaged enough by St. Matthew's. 14 Give me a second here. It's conceivable that a school 14 MS. CORNWALL: Off the record. 15 could have that type of policy? 15 It is conceivable. (Discussion had off the record, after which the 16 16 following proceedings transpired.) 17 It's also conceivable that a school could have a policy 17 (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 10 was marked for 1.8 saying that you can't be readmitted unless you can get 18 identification.) 19 the grades in the particular courses changed? 19 20 (By Ms. Cornwall) We've marked as Exhibit 10 a 20 21 document, can you read the title on that? 21 You see the difference between those two policies? St. Matthew's University School of Medicine appeal for Yes, I do see the distinction. 22 22 23 change of grade cover sheet. 23 Was there any representation orally, in writing, by an 24 SMU official stating that SMU had the first kind of 24 o Have you ever seen that document before? 25 policy and not the second? No. I have not. 25

)		Page 115			Page 117
1	Õ	Can you just take a look at the second document in that	1	A	Yes.
2		exhibit, have you seen that before?	2	Q	Where?
3	A	I don't believe I have.	3	A	Page 30 in the student handbook, bottom of the page.
4	Q	Okay. If you could take a look at Exhibit 2 to your	4		It's marked exhibit
5		deposition that we marked earlier, it's the dismissal	5	Q	Pringle 1, I believe.
6		letter?	6	A	Pringle 1. And on Page 30, bottom of the page, in all
7		MS. GOODWIN: The letter is Exhibit 3.	7		cases of academic dismissal, students are not eligible
8	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Exhibit 3. All right. Can you read	8		for readmission unless the student is successful in an
9		the sentence that begins students have the option?	9		appeals committee review. Bottom of the page.
10	A	Students have the option at the end of each semester to	10	Q	And you admittedly, there's some poor language
11		challenge the courses the courses failed that	11		choice in using appeals committee as opposed to
12		semester with the grievance committee. Please contact	12		grievance committee, you understood there to be some
13		Dr. Nasser at enasser@smu.cayman.com to discuss the	13		sort of separate appeals committee?
14		option and the procedures involved.	14	A	Yes. The appeals committee is it's in capitals in
15	Q	Okay. That first sentence, students have the option,	15		that sentence. That implies that it's a proper noun
16		can you read that first sentence again?	16		and implies the existence of a separate appeals
17	A	Yes. Students have the option at the end of each	17		committee. The grievance committee in all
18		semester to challenge the courses failed that semester	18		documentation, the G is shown as a proper noun.
19		with the grievance committee.	19	Q	Did you anywhere else ever hear of an appeals committee
20	Q	Did you understand that sentence to be referring to the	20		existing?
21		same grievance committee that the provision of the	21	A	There might have been some reference in the catalog.
22		student handbook refers to?	22		I'd have to look.
23	Α	As far as I know, there is only one grievance committee	23	Q	Did you ever talk to anyone that said that you could be
24		at SMU.	24		readmitted without having your grades in pharmacology
25	Q	Did your dismissal letter or the student handbook	25		and Pathology II changed?

			-		
1.		Page 1			Page 120
	1 2	·	ı	1 2	
2			i I	3	completed a bachelor's degree. Many students at St. Matthew's did not have a complete bachelor's degree.
-[3			- 1		Q Anything else?
		attempt if I were to retake some of these courses			A Also I would probably cite my attendance record.
5		•	- 1		
6			6		Assuming that the question before the grievance
7		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7		committee was whether this evidence demonstrated that
8		could be immediately readmitted without leaving the	8		you deserved a passing grade in pharmacology, assuming that, the question is not whether generally you're a
9		school, going off, doing something else, and then	9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10		reapplying, was there anyone	10 11		good student, but the question is whether you deserve a
11			12		passing grade in pharmacology, do you think this evidence shows that you deserve a passing grade in
12		Dr. Green that said something that he would support an	13		
13		attempt for me something along the lines of going before the grievance committee or the appeals	14		pharmacology?
14			15		
15		committee. I don't exactly know what his conditions	16		SHELF score, which is a measure of achievement based on a national level. So I would cite that as well. And
1.5		were, but Dr. Green did make some representations of that in an email.	17		my pharmacology SHELF score certainly was not any lower
17	_		18		
18	Q	Give me one second here. (Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 11 was marked for	19		than any other student. It was not lower than the average, so I would say I could have made an argument
19		identification.)	20		· •
20	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Is Exhibit 11 the email to which	21	Q	for passing pharmacology. Do you think the TA service is relevant to substantive
22	Q	you're referring? If it is could you read the	22	Ų	knowledge in pharmacology or Pathology II?
23		highlighted portion from Dr. Green?	23	A	I do.
24	A	Okay. Highlighted text is with regards to an appeal	24	0	How so?
25	A	process, any student failing a course should take their	25	·A	Patient/Doctor 4 is basically a course in physical
123		process, and seadone received a course should take the	-		radions/200001 : 10 Basicarry 1 coarse in payarous
<u> </u>			+		
)		Page 119			Page I21
		case to the grievance committee.	1		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap
. 2	Q	case to the grievance committee. Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does			
. 2	Q	-	j		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap
	Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does	2		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor
3	-	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right?	1. 2 3		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to
3	A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right?	1 2 3 4	Q	diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical
3 4 5	A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee?	1. 2 3 4	Q A	diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4.
3 4 5 6	A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right.	1. 2 3 4 5	Q A Q	diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word
3 4 5 6 7	A Q A Q	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook?	1 2 3 4 5 6		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry.
3 4 5 6 7	A Q A Q	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence
3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEFONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		diagnosis. There are there's considerable overlap in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and ultimately, graduating with a GPA of 3.7 in the St.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q	in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other factors. I didn't say on its own.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and ultimately, graduating with a GPA of 3.7 in the St. Joseph's program. Can you think of any other evidence	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other factors. I didn't say on its own. So it's not on its own enough to it wouldn't on its
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 222	A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and ultimately, graduating with a GPA of 3.7 in the St. Joseph's program. Can you think of any other evidence that you would have presented to the grievance	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other factors. I didn't say on its own. So it's not on its own enough to it wouldn't on its own enough be enough to overturn those two grades?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220 221 222	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and ultimately, graduating with a GPA of 3.7 in the St. Joseph's program. Can you think of any other evidence that you would have presented to the grievance committee?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other factors. I didn't say on its own. So it's not on its own enough to it wouldn't on its own enough be enough to overturn those two grades? MS. GOODWIN: Objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220 221 222 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Is there anywhere I'm sorry, so there Dr. Green does not speak about an appeals committee; right? No. He refers you to the grievance committee? Right. Anywhere besides Page 30 of the student handbook? Again, I would have to look at all the documents I have. I would have to review everything. But no oral representations that you can think of now? I'd have to think about it, but right now, nothing's coming to mind. Okay. I want to talk about what evidence you would have been able to present to the grievance committee. Some things that you have listed before are high scores on the Pathology II SHELF exam, your service as a Patient/Doctor 4 TA, adherence to the school's general policies, continued enrollment at St. Joseph's, and ultimately, graduating with a GPA of 3.7 in the St. Joseph's program. Can you think of any other evidence that you would have presented to the grievance committee? I also would have raised the issue that before exam in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	in the diagnostic procedures taught in Patient/Doctor 4, particularly as they pertain to pathology. How to detect certain kinds of pathologies on physical examination are what is covered in Patient/Doctor 4. So maybe relevant isn't the right word I would say relevant. Sorry. If doing well in Patient/Doctor 4 is enough evidence that you've mastered the subject areas of pharmacology and Pathology II to pass, why would they require you to take pharmacology and Pathology II courses? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. You can answer. THE DEPONENT: That's not what I said. I didn't say that performance in Patient/Doctor 4 on its own is indicative of mastery of pharmacology or pathology. I said that it being able to TA such a course and doing well in that course ties in well with the other two courses in conjunction with other factors. I didn't say on its own. So it's not on its own enough to it wouldn't on its own enough be enough to overturn those two grades? MS. GOODWIN: Objection. THE DEPONENT: On its own it doesn't

	1	Page P principles in medicine that are apparent in all three		1	Page 124 SHELF exams exist in all basic science, disciplines in
	2	of those courses.	- 1	2	medicine, and the clinical science disciplines. Each
	3	Q (By Ms. Cornwall) Let me start somewhere else, I'm		3	discipline has their own, then they have comprehensive
)	4	going to come back to that. Does doing well in the St.		4	exams in for both basic science and for clinical
1	5	Joseph's program is doing well in St. Joseph's		5	science.
ļ	5. 6	program evidence of having enough knowledge in]	6	The SHELF exams are like I said, they're written
	7	pharmacology to pass pharmacology?		7	by the National Board of Medical Examiners. Many U.S.
İ	, B 1				medical schools use them as tools to assess their own
- 1))	another reason. On its own health administration	و ا		students, usually they're given as final exams in
			10		
10		coursework does not teach pharmacology.			courses at U.S. schools. A lot of offshore medical
11	-		11		schools choose to use them as well.
12		assuming that the only thing the grievance committee is	12		·
13		looking at is your knowledge of pharmacology and your	13		exams as their final exams?
14		knowledge of Pathology II.	14		
15		So assuming that that's all the grievance	15		most, but many do.
16		committee is looking at is whether you deserved a	16	Q	Did you get any credit for your SHELF exam score in
17		passing grade in those two courses, do you think the	17		Pathology II?
18		St. Joseph's your continued enrollment at St.	18	A	Yes.
19		Joseph's and good grades at St. Joseph's are evidence	19	Q	How much? It's on the grade sheet?
20		of your knowledge of pharmacology or your knowledge of	20	A	According to this, I've got nine out of 10 points.
21		Pathology II?	21	Q	I'm sorry, it's up here?
22	A	Yes, I believe they do illustrate some factors relevant	22	A	Well, that's 10 percent, that's 10 percent of the total
23		to pharmacology and Pathology II. Many students in the	23		grade.
24		Maine campus who were supposed to who were supposed	24	Q	Right. So you got so 10 percent of your total grade
25		to be enrolled in St. Joseph's program chose to drop	25		was the SHELF exam, so you got the fact that you did
}		D 101			D 105
1		Page 123 drop out of the St. Joseph's courses, therefore, the	1		Page 125 well on the SHELF exam was factored into your grade?
2		amount of time they had to they had to devote to	2	A	Right.
3		both courses was much more than mine, my own. So but	3	Q	Okay. Let's look at summer, 2006, on that grade sheet.
4		the grades in that course indicate that I was I was	4		Can you tell me what you got let's do it this way.
5		spending time studying other subject matter, but I was	5		You got a nine on the summer, 2006, Pathology II SHELF
6		actually still able to master at some level	6		exam; correct?
7		pharmacology and pathology.	7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Weren't the only classes you were taking in fall, 2005,	8	Q	Actually, first let's say spring, 2006, because you
9		pharmacology and pathology and your St. Joseph's	وا		withdrew, you didn't get you didn't take the SHELF
10		courses, whereas other fourth semester students would	10		exam
11		have been taking their St. Joseph's courses,	111	A	Right.
12		pharmacology, Pathology II, genetics, and	12	Q	for Pathology II or pharmacology; correct?
13		Patient/Doctor 4 at the same time?	13	Ā	That is correct.
14	A	Yes, but there's also a large number of those students	14	ο	And then summer, 2005, you scored a nine on the
15	•	who dropped out of the St. Joseph's courses.	15	-	Pathology II SHELF exam?
16	Q	They still would have had genetics and Patient/Doctor	16	A	In summer, yes, and in fall.
17	~	4; right?	17	Q.	All right. And in summer, only one student scored a
	,	"		٠.	
18	A	Not necessarily. Some of them if they were transfer	18	7.	10, and only two others scored a nine; correct?
19		students or had a different schedule based on prior		A	I can't read a few of the lines are very fuzzy,
20	_	failures would could have had a different schedule.	20	^	but
21	Q	Okay. Let's look at the SHELF exam. What is a SHELF		Ō	Those are the withdrawals, the fuzzy ones. They don't
22		exam?	22		count.
23	A	A SHELF well, first of all, the term SHELF exam is	23	A	It appears excluding the fuzzy lines, there were two
_					
24	••	more of an informal term. It's actual National	24		other nines and one 10.
24 25		more of an informal term. It's actual National Board of Medical Examiners authors these exams. And		Q	other nines and one 10. Then could you look at the fall, 2006 I'm sorry,

_					
	1	Page ! what was your final so you scored a nine on the	26	1	Page 128
i	2	SHELF exam, summer of 2006, what was your final grade	- 1		Q Did you already do that search?
ı	3	in Pathology II in the summer of 2006?	- 1		A I've done I did one preliminarily in 2006. I didn't
1	- 4 A			4	save the results.
1	5	grade.			Q After you received Dr. McCutcheon's January 5th email,
	6 Q		1		you emailed Dr. Thornton; correct?
	7	fall, 2006?	1,		A Yes.
1	′ 3 A		8		Q Did you email anybody else after that January 5th?
و ا			9		A Yes. I also emailed I believe I emailed Dr. Green.
		2006?	10		And I believe that was it.
10			11		So you pursued one second. After you received the
11			12		January 5th email from Dr. McCutcheon, you contacted
12	_				
13		two students scored 10s; right?	13		both Dr. Thornton and Dr. Green about having failed
14		I'm counting seven total nines, and two 10s.	14		pharmacology and Pathology II and about returning to
15	-	There's a second page.	15		SMU; correct?
16		Okay. There's one more nine on the second page.	16		
17	-	Okay. And what was your final grade in Pathology II?	17	Q	
18		According to this, it was 62.	18		about in June of that year, you were offered the
19	Q	And both terms, the SHELF exam was factored in as	19		opportunity to file a grievance at that point, and in
20		10 percent of your final grade; correct? We looked at	20		January of that year, you were still trying to file a
21		fall, I don't know	21		grievance, what made you decide to stop pursuing a
22	A	Yes.	22		grievance?
23	Q	if you want to go back and check? Okay. How much	23	A	
24		did the Pathology II SHELF exam change from term to	24	Q	
25		term?	25		look at an email that Dr. Thornton
\		Page 127			Page 129
(1	A	In what regard?	1		(Discussion had off the record, after which the
2	Q	Were they the same questions, different	2		following proceedings transpired.)
3	A	No, they were different. It was different questions,	3		(Repetto Deposition Exhibit Number 12 was marked for
4		it was it was like it's a standardized exam. SMU	4		identification.)
5		has no control over the content of the exam.	5	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) All right. After much
6	Q	So you scored a nine on the Pathology II SHELF exam in	6		consternation, I have produced Exhibit 12, would you
7		the summer, 2006, even though you failed that course;	7		the first line there is highlighted for a different
8		correct?	8		purpose, could you read the second highlighted portion,
9	A	That is correct.	9		please or actually, for yourself review the whole
10	Q	And then the next term, you again failed the course;	10		thing, then if you would read aloud the second
11		correct?	11		highlighted portion?
12	A	That is correct.	12	A	Okay. The second highlighted area or second
13	Q	And yet you still think that the SHELF exam score	13		highlighted text is I also notice you have borrowed
14		demonstrates enough knowledge	14		approximately \$90,000 while at SMU and perhaps more at
15	A	Yes.	15		Ross. This is a terrible situation.
16	Q	of Pathology II to have passed the course?	16	Q	Did you understand Dr. Thornton to be expressing
17	A	Yes. Several U.S. schools have policies such that	17		concern for you in this email?
18		students who even if they fail the course, if they're	18	A	No, I thought Dr. Thornton was expressing concern that
19		passing the SHELF exam, will receive a passing mark in	19		even if they reaccepted me, I wouldn't be able to pay
20		the course.	20		the tuition.
21	Q	What schools?	21	Q	Why did you interpret it that way?
22	A	I would have to look, but a search of some school	22	A	SMU is a for profit school.
23		medical school syllabi will show that some schools do	23	Q	Had Dr. Thornton said anything else to you to indicate
24		employ that method.	24		that that statement would not be one of concern, but
25	Q	Do you have those syllabi anywhere?	25		would be one of
•		1			!

		Page 13		-	Page 132
	. А	No.			, -
2		trying	2		MS. GOODWIN: You did. THE DEPONENT: I'm sorry, that was Pringle.
1 3		Not personally.	3		• •
4 	_	Trying to maximize the school's	4		I never met with Dr. Thornton in person.
5		MS. GOODWIN: Let her ask the question.	5	-	•
6		THE DEPONENT: I am sorry. Are you finished	6		
7		with the question?	7		
8	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Yes.	8		have said you know about statements being false, you
9	A	I apologize. No, he never said anything else to me	9		still would have returned to SMU in January, 2007, had
10		personally.	10		you been permitted to do so; correct?
11	Q	Okay. It was just an inference from the fact that the	11	A	
12		school is a for profit institution?	12	Q	
13	A	It was that and also I'm appealing an academic matter,	13		issues.
14		why is he looking at my financial aid records. That's	14		MS. GOODWIN: If we're changing topics, I
15		not germain to an academic dismissal.	15		could really use a quick break.
16	Q	After you received Dr. McCutcheon's January 5th email,	16		MS. CORNWALL: Absolutely.
17		did you attempt to request that Dr. Pringle or	17		(Recess at 3:47 p.m., to 3:50 p.m., after which the
18		Dr. Wilhoite increase your grade either orally or in	18		following proceedings transpired.)
19		writing?	19	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) You mentioned earlier that you
20	A	After which point?	20		thought you had read a J.P. Yates posting on ValueMD
21	Q	After you received Dr. McCutcheon's	21		stating that it was possible that a future California
22	A	No.	22		approval of SMU would be prospectively applicable;
23	Q	Okay. Did you at any time we talked earlier about	23		correct?
24		you actually purposefully telling hiding from	24	A	He there was at least one posting where he said that
25		Dr. Thornton your considerations of leaving SMU;	25		that would be a possibility.
1		•			
1					
 		Page 131			Page 133
		Page 131	1	Q	Do you have a copy of this posting?
1 2	A	correct? I wouldn't say I was concealing them.	1 2	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed
Į	A Q	correct?	l		Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out
2		correct? I wouldn't say I was concealing them.	3	A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them.
2		Correct? I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell	2		Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to
2 3 4		Correct? I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at	3	A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them.
2 3 4 5	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that	3 4 5	A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer?
2 3 4 5	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything
2 3 4 5 6	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring semester. This is the first I have heard of that meeting. Can you tell me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he told you at the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring semester. This is the first I have heard of that meeting. Can you tell me We talked about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he told you at the time? That's right, what he said was incorrect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring semester. This is the first I have heard of that meeting. Can you tell me We talked about it. MS. GOODWIN: I suspect strongly there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he told you at the time? That's right, what he said was incorrect. You say that there were other students in Maine who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring semester. This is the first I have heard of that meeting. Can you tell me We talked about it. MS. GCODWIN: I suspect strongly there's a misstatement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he told you at the time? That's right, what he said was incorrect. You say that there were other students in Maine who were having difficulty passing classes and were given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Q A	I wouldn't say I was concealing them. Well, I won't read back the record. Did you tell anyone at SMU that you were considering leaving SMU at any time? No. Actually, let me rephrase that. When I met with Dr. Thornton, there were times I expressed concern that maybe I might want to leave especially after the first semester, but in a conversation where he told me that the intern's residency programs, it wouldn't be a quote, unquote, big deal to withdraw from the courses, that quickly ended that conversation. That would have been probably the only instance where I would have indicated to any member of the SMU faculty or administration that I would actually be considering leaving. When would that meeting have been? That would have been in 2006, sometime in the spring semester. This is the first I have heard of that meeting. Can you tell me We talked about it. MS. GOODWIN: I suspect strongly there's a misstatement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	Do you have a copy of this posting? If I do, it most likely would have been disclosed already. As a matter of practice, I didn't print out and save ValueMD postings when I saw them. Are there any documents you have that are relevant to the case that you haven't already turned over to your lawyer? No, as far as I know, Barbara has absolutely everything I do. In Dr. Pringle's deposition this morning, when he was asked whether you could have transferred back to the Cayman Islands if you dropped the St. Joseph's program, he said yes. You have just testified that you asked him if you could do just that, and he said no. Is that correct? That is correct. Even though you were here this morning and you heard his testimony Yeah, I heard his testimony. I'm sorry. You think that he what he was saying is not what he told you at the time? That's right, what he said was incorrect. You say that there were other students in Maine who

,							
	1	A	Yes. Page 1	34	1		Page 136
ĺ		Ω Q	Who were those students?		2	A	
		-	•			А	
1		A	I can give you names. I can't last names might be		3		knowledge that they did withdraw. Sal, I'm almost
- /	4		difficult. One student I know, his first name was		4		tempted to say I have first hand knowledge of that, but
ļ	5 .		Tamir, last name started with an N. I think it might	ĺ	5		I'm more comfortable saying there's definitely second
	ŝ		have been Natchim or Natchism. Please don't ask me on	- 1	6		hand knowledge. And other people would be better
1			spellings because I'm not certain, but he was one		7		suited to actually testify to first hand knowledge of
8	3		student.		8		that.
5	3		Another student, his name was first name was	1	9	Q	And were these fourth semester students?
10)		pronounced Colad, and I don't know his last name. Let	1)	A	At the time of their withdrawal, yes.
11			me just have a minute to think. There were other	1:	ι	Q	We actually don't know that any of them were encouraged
12			students. I know it was more than two, but right now	12	2		to withdraw; correct?
13			those are the only two names that are coming to mind.	13	3	A	I can't testify to that.
14	Ç	3	Do you know if these other students were still enrolled	14	i	Q	Would this have been in spring of 2006?
15			in the St. Joseph's program once they were down in	15		A	Yes, it would have been when I first semester in
16			Cayman?	16			Maine, yes. First semester as on the permanent Maine
17	А		That I do not know.	17			campus as opposed to the temporary campus.
18	Q	!	Do you know these students personally?	18		Q	Right. So your first go at fourth semester?
19	A		I think I may have engaged in conversation with them	19		A	Yes.
20			briefly, but I wouldn't say I'm personally acquainted	20	,	Q	And these were other fourth semester students at that
21			with them well, no.	21			time?
22	Q		How did you know that they reason they returned to	22	1	A	Ýes.
23			the Caymans is because they were having difficulty	23	(Q	And they withdrew from the St. Joseph's program during
24	А		Second hand	24	-	-	their fourth semester?
25	Q		passing classes?	25	,	A	Yes.
	-		<u></u>				
<u></u>				╀			
) 1	A		Page 135 Through second hand knowledge. Well, first hand	1	Q	2	Page 137 Do you have contact information for these individuals?
2			knowledge that they were no longer physically in Maine.	2	A	Ĺ	I have contact information for Liron Dror, but apart
3			But then second hand knowledge that they actually went	3			from that, I personally do not have contact information
4			back to Cayman.	4			for the other individuals.
5	Q		Was any of this information from ValueMD postings?	5	Q	1	Okay. How can we contact Liron Dror?
6	A		No.	6	A		I would have to get you his phone number.
,	Q		Gossip?	7	••		MS. GOODWIN: I actually think that was in
(_		I wouldn't regard it as gossip. But it was	8			our initial disclosures.
8	A			ĺ			
9			conversations with other people who were friends with	9			THE DEPONENT: It was, yes.
10			those two individuals.	10			MS. GOODWIN: Not that you asked me a
11	ō		Let me know if we already covered this. Who were the	11			question.
12			other students who were allowed or even encouraged to	12	Q		(By Ms. Cornwall) You had a choice to enroll at SMU
13			rop out of the St. Joseph's program?	13			without enrolling in the St. Joseph's program; correct?
14	A	1	can tell you who was allowed to drop out of the St.	14	A		That is correct.
15		J	oseph's program, I can't necessarily attest to whether	15	Q		And was the reason that you didn't stay in Cayman for
16		0	r not they were actually encouraged. One student was	16			your fourth semester because of the St. Joseph's
17		L	iron Dror. Scott Michaels. Those two I have first	17			program?
18		h	and knowledge that they actually did.	18	A		In part.
19	Q	G	o ahead.	19	Q		What were the other parts?
20	A	0	kay. Another student was Salad Vang. First name was	20	A		Other parts were wanting to come back to the U.S.,
21		S	al for short, but his proper name was Salad, just like	21			experience what living in New England would be like.
22		i	t's typically spelled. And I know there were others.	22			Also some of the representations that were made in the
23		I	'm just not having the names come into my head right	23			California report rebuttal about the curriculum and
24		n	ow.	24			campus here in Maine seemed appealing.
25	Q	Se	o who did you have the first hand knowledge from and	25	Q	1	When did you first think about withdrawing from the St.

μ-								
	1		Page 1	38	1		Page change his responsibilities.	e 140
	2	A	When I started having serious academic difficulty in		2	Q		
	3		Maine.		3		materials rather than a textbook in spring and summe	r
-	4	Q	So spring, 2006, or summer 2006?		4		or fall of 2006?	
	5	A	Yes, somewhere around yeah, I'd probably say spring,	ı	5	A	Pharmacology, some of the instructors may have, I'd	
	6		2006.		6		have to double check. Pathology, no.	
	7	Q	Did you enjoy the St. Joseph's classes?	- 1	7	Q	Was there a primary text in pharmacology that was no	+
ı	8.	A	Not particularly.		8	¥	review materials? Or let me restate. Were the	-
- 1	9	Q	If you had you never made a formal request to return		9		materials required for pharmacology primarily review	
1		_	to the Cayman campus strike that. We have an	1			materials or doesn't do it either.	
1:			admission on that one.	1				
1:			In spring, 2006, you had already completed three	1.			In pharmacology, were review materials used instead of a textbook?	
13			terms of the St. Joseph's program; correct?	13		А		
14		A	Starting in spring when I came to Maine, yes, at	- 1		А	Instructor notes were primarily used in place of textbook.	
15			that time I had completed three semesters.	14		_		
16		Q	But if you had been permitted to withdraw at that	15		Q	Instructor notes. Now look at student affairs. What	
17		¥	point, you would have done so knowing that a whole year	16			contact did you have with the student affairs office	İ
18			of work in the St. Joseph's program would have been	17			aside from your meetings with Dr. Pringle?	
19			lost?	18		A	Well, I wouldn't say that Dr. Pringle was really	
20		A	It wouldn't have been lost.	19			involved with the student affairs office. The studen	t
-			Okay. Let me rephrase. If you had been permitted to	20			affairs office are we speaking just the student	İ
21		Õ		21			affairs office in Maine?	
1			withdraw from the St. Joseph's program in the spring of	22		Q	Yes.	
23			2006, would you have done so?	23		A	Okay. I had contact when I was called in to meet	
24	•	A	Yes. If I had an assurance that it would not adversely	24			with meet with them. I had contact with both	Ī
25			affect my licensure subsequent to that withdrawal, yes.	25			Angela her last name I think is Negrano or Negrano	
_				╫		-		-
ĺı	Q)	What if it meant losing your federal funding?	1			Page 1 I'm not exactly sure what her last name is. And	41
2	А	L	Federal funding, still, I would have done it.	2			Dr. Berman were the two individuals in the student	
3	Q	ł	You say that a number of professors relied upon review	3			affairs office I had contact with.	
4			materials	4	Q	!	Did you receive notices saying that you had missed	
5	A		Yes.	5			classes or that you were in danger of failing a class?	ı
6	Q		and not textbooks, did any professor use only review	6	A		Yes.	
7			materials, or were the review materials assigned a	7	Q		Did the office schedule academic counseling with you?	
8			supplemental text?	8	A		They scheduled meetings with me, I don't know if I'd	
9	A		Some of the professors who taught in the physiology	9			classify it as academic counseling.	
10			course, their sections used exclusively review	10	Q		During the meetings, did you talk about your how	
11			materials.	11			things were going academically, study skills, things	
12	Q		Do you have a syllabus indicating that?	12			like that?	
13	A		It would be the syllabus was changed in that course	13	A		Yes.	
14			after Dr. Jha was removed as the professor, they used	14	Q		Did the student affairs office assign you a tutor?	
15			pretty much every faculty member they had available to	15	A		In the final weeks of my final semester there, yes.	
16			teach that course. So the syllabus went through	16	Q		What did you think of the tutor?	
17			several changes.	17	A		Tutor was I would say adequate as a tutor. His	
18	Q		So the review materials were relied on exclusively only	18			abilities were nothing spectacular. I really felt like	
19			in that physiology course which was unique because a	19			he had the knowledge, but I certainly don't believe he	
20		1	professor left midway through the term?	20			was necessarily all that great at relaying information.	
21	A		No, the professor was still with St. Matthew's, he was	21			The other problem I had with the tutor is the	
22		1	just no longer teaching the course.	22			initial phone number I was given for him was the	
23	Q	£	Okay. And we don't know why?	23			incorrect phone number. So I had a hard time	
24	A		Students were very dissatisfied with his performance,	24			contacting him initially.	
25		s	so St. Matthew's decided to take him away from or	25	Q		Oo you believe that the student affairs office of	
					-			

	-	Page 1 support services were unsatisfactory?		1	Page 14 A No, not to prepare.
]			- 1		Q Do you believe your experience at SMU helped you get
2		Yes.	- 1	3	into law school?
3		What else do you think they should have done?			A No, I believe it hindered my ability to get into law
1		I don't know what they necessarily should have done,	- 1	± 5	school.
5		that's not something I claim any expertise in. But I			Q Do you think the experience will improve your
6		definitely believe the meetings I had with them	- 1		performance in law school?
7		didn't did nothing but waste my time and provoking	- 1	7	•
8		anxiety.			A No. Q Do you think participating in a deposition will be
9	Q	How much debt had you accumulated before you enrolled	9		•
10		at SMU?	10		relevant to your experience in law school? MS. CORNWALL: Can we take a break?
11	A	I don't know the exact figure. I would guess at Ross,	11		
12		maybe somewhere maybe less than a hundred thousand	12		MS. GOODWIN: Sure.
13	_	dollars.	13		(Recess at 4:09 p.m., to 4:14 p.m., after which the
14	Q	And how much did you take out in order to cover your	14		following proceedings transpired.)
15		SMU education?	15	ç	
16	A	Again, I don't know the exact numbers. I believe it	16		think that if you had stayed at Ross, you would have
17		was just slightly under \$20,000 per term. Excluding	17		graduated from Ross; correct?
18		interest, probably about \$120,000 in loans for SMU,	18	A	
19		then the loans for the SMU program.	19		it's possible, but I also think it's possible you
20	Q	St. Joseph's?	20		know, I don't know. I like to think it would be
21	A	I'm sorry, yes, St. Joseph's program. That I would	21		possible, likely, but I know it was definitely
22		have to look at.	22		possible. The question is whether or not it's likely,
23	Q	In terms of how much debt is attributable to your first	23		that's harder to answer.
24		year and your second year, would it be split that	24	Q	Do you think if you had graduated, you would have
25		120,000 be split down the middle?	25		become licensed to practice?
)		Page 143			Page 145
1	A	Exclusive of interest?	1	A	Well, if I had graduated from Ross, yes. I would have
2	Q	Yes, exclusive of interest?	2	_	made surs I would have been able to be licensed.
3	A	I would have to check the fees, but I would have to	3	Q	What's required after graduation before you can be
4	-	look to see if SMU increased any of their fees, but it	4	_	licensed?
5		would be roughly.	5	A	Well, that varies by state. Each state medical board
6	Q	What did you do to prepare for this deposition?	6		sets their own standards, but in general, it's passage
7	A	I just I didn't do much at all. I reviewed my	7		of Step 1 of the USMLE, Step 2 and Step 3.
8		complaint, reviewed the answers to my interrogatories	8		Then there are just general license requirements,
9		and admissions. And that's really the extent of it.	9		similar similar to any profession, lack of a
10	Q	Did you review any documents we haven't already talked	10		criminal background, you know, those sorts of issues.
11		about?	11		Obviously graduating from medical school. So I
12	A	No, pretty much to prepare for this deposition, I only	12		definitely think if I had stayed at Ross, I would have
13		reviewed the documents I mentioned,	13		ultimately been licensed in some jurisdiction, most
14	Q	Pretty much, or that's all you reviewed?	14		likely California.
15	A	That's really all I did. I spoke to my attorney, but I	15	Q	You're confident that you would have passed the USMLE
16		really don't think that's something we need to discuss.	16		Step 1, Step 2, Step 3?
17		MS. GOODWIN: She didn't ask, she just asked	17	A	Yes, I'm very confident of that. I think the SHELF
18		what documents you looked at.	18		exam scores demonstrate that that despite my
19	Q	(By Ms. Cornwall) Did you speak with anyone other than	19		performance on school exams that come from schools,
20	-	your attorney?	20		the SHELF exam questions are actually questions taken
21	A	I speak to a lot of people, but about this deposition,	21		from the USMLE.
22		I spoke to some family members, I spoke to a friend.	22	Q	Do you believe you had sufficient knowledge of the
23		That was the extent of it.	23		subject matter of Pathology II to pass that course?
24	Q	But you didn't speak with anyone else in order to	24	A	What do you mean, do you mean do I believe my knowledge
		prepare for the deposition?	25		would have been sufficient to justify passing the
25		l l			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

_					
	1	course? Page 1	46	CERTIFICATE	Page 148
1:	2 (2	I, Cindy Packard, a Notary Public in and	for
	3 2		3	the State of Maine, hereby certify that the	101
1	ı	assessment techniques used by SMU.	4	within-named deponent was sworn to testify the tru	th.
1 5		•	5	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the	,
6	A		6	aforementioned cause of action.	
7		- "	7	I further certify that this deposition wa	35
8	-	SMU, you had sufficient knowledge of Fathology II	8	stenographically reported by me and later reduced t	
9		subject matter to proceed in your medical training?	9	print through Computer-Aided Transcription, and the	
10	A		10	foregoing is a full and true record of the testimon	
11		studying and reviewing, yes.	11	given by the deponent.	•
12	Q		12	I further certify that I am a disinterest	ed
13	×	dismissal or before?	13	person in the event or outcome of the above-named c	ause
14	Ã		14	of action.	
15	A	No, after dismissal, I didn't I haven't opened medical books to study from since my dismissal. I	15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I subscribe my hand	
16			16	thisof, 2008.	
İ		haven't reviewed or anything. I focused on my new	17	Dated at Falmouth, Maine.	
17	•	career path.	18		
18	Q	When you say that you didn't pass Pathology II because	19		
19		of the method of instruction and assessment, was it	20		
20		because you were not tested on what you were taught, or	21		1
21		because you were not taught sufficient material?	22		_
22	A	I believe it's a combination of the two. I believe	23	Notary Public	
23		that the fact that somebody with my performance in	24	My Commission Expires	
24		Pathology II given the low test grades, yet on a		November 9, 2008	
25		national exam taken by medical students throughout the	25		
-					
1		Page 147 country, I can be one of the top performers indicates			
2		there was some tremendous disconnect between what was			1
3		being taught and what was being tested by St.			
4		Matthew's, and what is actually tested and taught by			
5		National Board of Medical Examiners and was actually			ł
6		used on the United States Medical Licensing Exam.			-
7	Q	· ·			
8	Ā	So you believe that you have the innate ability to pass those courses?			
9	A	At the time I was dismissed, I believe I had both the			
.0		innate ability and the knowledge.			ı
.1		MS. CORNWALL: Okay.			1
2		·			
		(At 4:20 p.m., the foregoing proceedings were concluded.)			ĺ
3		concluded.)			ĺ
4		• •			-
5					
6					
7					l
8		BRYAN REPETTO			
9		A hamalland and a second secon			
)		Subscribed and sworn to before me			
		this day of, 2008.			
!					
		Notary Public			
					l
					1